

Shears Building, Stone Lane Industrial Estate, Wimborne, Dorset BH21 1HD

> Tel: 01202 973020 www.wpa-environmental.com

PHASE 2 SITE INVESTIGATION AND SITE SPECIFIC RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT

Allotments Site, Spittles Lane Lyme Regis, Weymouth

WPA ENVIRONMENTAL

For Dorset Council

October 2020 Final V1



WPA Consultants Ltd t/a wpa environmental. Field office: Shears Building, Stone Lane Industrial Estate, Wimborne, Dorset BH21 1HD Reg office: Beaufort House, 2 Commarket Court, Wimborne, Dorset BH21 1JL Company No. 4342916 VAT reg. 785860575



Version of Report	Final V1				
Produced by:	Claudia Norman 12/10/2020				
Reviewed by:	Michael Way 19/10/2020				
Approved by	Claudia Norman 19/10/2020				
Date Issued Draft V1:	19/10/2020	Draft V1 report issued for discussion to client.			
Date Issued Final V1:	10/11/2020	Final V1			

WPA Consultants Limited disclaims any responsibility to the Client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of this report. This report has been prepared with reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the Contract with the Client and generally in accordance with the appropriate ACE Agreement and taking account of the manpower, resources, investigations and testing allocated to it by agreement with the Client. This report is confidential to the Client and WPA Consultants Limited accepts no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report or any part thereof is made known. Any such party relies upon the report at their own risk.

© WPA Consultants Limited 2020





CONTENTS

PREF	ACE FROM DORSET COUNCIL
EXEC	UTIVE SUMMARY
1.0	INTRODUCTION
1.1	Background and Scope of Works7
1.2	Methodology7
1.3	Limitations
2.0	Site Description and Surrounding Areas9
2.1	Site Description9
2.2	Surrounding Areas9
3.0	INITIAL CONCEPTUAL MODEL & PRELIMINARY RISK ASSESSMENT 11
3.1	Preamble to the risk assessment 11
3.2	Contaminant Sources 13
3.3	Pathways
3.4	Receptors14
4.0	INTRUSIVE SITE INVESTIGATION
4.1	Site Investigation Strategy 17
4.2	Intrusive Site Works18
5.0	GROUND AND GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS
5.1.	Ground Conditions
5.2	Groundwater Conditions
5.3	Extent and Proximity of the SL Landfill Site to the allotments
6.0	SOIL RISK ASSESSMENT
6.1	Soil Contamination Testing and Human Health Risk Assessment
6.2	Generic Quantitative Risk Assessment Results
6.3	Further Soil Risk Assessment – Lead 28
6.4	Further Soil Risk Assessment - benzo(b)fluoranthene and dibenzo(ah)anthracene 31
6.5	Further Soil Risk Assessment - Asbestos

7.0	GROUNDWATER RISK ASSESSMENT			
8.0	GROUNDGAS MONITORING AND RISK ASSESSMENT			
8.1	Ground Gas Monitoring Results			
8.2	Ground Gas Risk Assessment			
9.0	REFINED CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL			
9.1.	Methodology			
9.2	Refined CSM - Land off-site of the Allotments			
9.3	Refined CSM - Allotments Land 37			
10.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS			
10.1	Exploratory Site Investigation and Ground Conditions			
10.2	2 SL landfill Western Boundary and Proximity to the Allotments Site			
10.3	3 Contaminants Linkages 39			
10.4	4 Statutory Guidance 40			
REFE	RENCES			

DRAWINGS

Drawing 1	Site Location Plan
Drawing 2	Allotments Layout Plan
Drawing 3	Lyme Regis Coastal Defence Works – Charmouth Car Park and Allotments
Drawing 4	Spittles Lane Landfilled Areas – Walkover Survey and Aerial Photography
Drawing 5	Spittles Lane Landfill Site -Estimated Boundaries (Bennett 2007, WPA 2018, WPA 2019)
Drawing 6	Site Investigation Plan
Drawing 7	Predicted Extent of SL Landfill Site to the West – Field Observations and Site Data
Drawing 8	Predicted Extent of SL Landfill Site to the West – Site Data

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Photographic Records
Appendix B	Borehole Logs and Hand Auger Pit Logs
Appendix C	GAC for Allotments Land Use and Public Open Space (2)
Appendix D	Testing Results
Appendix E	Ground Gas Monitoring Results

PREFACE FROM DORSET COUNCIL

A disused landfill site is located at the end of Spittles Lane in Lyme Regis. Ongoing erosion of cliffs by the sea in the vicinity has caused slippage of land, including part of the landfilled ground, down towards the beach.

Dorset Council has an ongoing management programme in relation to this issue. This includes the use of professional consultants to undertake periodical sampling of slipped material, and associated analysis and interpretation. Additionally, periodical observations are made of any physical detritus on the beach, with removal of such material by a contractor as appropriate.

This approach is derived from the Council's general interest in relation to public safety and health, combined with its role as an owner of land in the area.

In pursuit of the above stated interests, Dorset Council's work also includes consideration of any other potential effects of the disused Spittles Lane landfill, for example on nearby land. Lyme Regis Town Council owns an area of allotments on land adjacent to the land containing the former landfill.

This report concerns itself with the Phase 2 exploratory intrusive site investigation works and risk assessment on this allotment site and evaluation of potential contaminated land risks from the adjacent Spittles Lane (SL) Landfill Site to the allotments. As such, this is a key step in Dorset Councils actions as a responsible landowner.

In the course of the production of this report, consultants will have utilised relevant regulatory concepts as a matter of good professional practice. However, this report is not in pursuit of any Council regulatory function.

Bearing in mind the above considerations, it must be understood that no conclusions can be drawn from this document as to whether any land might be 'contaminated' or whether any regulatory action might be appropriate.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

As authorised by Dorset Council, WPA Consultants Ltd, trading as WPA Environmental (WPA), has conducted a Phase 2 Exploratory Site Investigation and a Site Specific Risk Assessment for an allotments site in close proximity to the former Spittles Lane (SL) Landfill Site in Lyme Regis, West Dorset.

SL Landfill Site is a Dorset Council's (DC) asset, and it is Dorset Council's responsibility to investigate and assess whether this asset could pose a Significant Risk of Significant Harm (SPOSH) to off-site sensitive receptors, including the allotments site and its users.

Considering the above, the Phase 2 exploratory intrusive site investigation works aimed to investigate ground conditions, contaminant linkages identified by the preliminary Conceptual Site Model (CSM) included in the Phase 1 Desk Study Report and assess potential contaminant migration pathways from SL Landfill Site to the allotments. The intrusive site investigation works also aimed to investigate and assess the extent and proximity of SL Landfill to the allotments site.

The works undertaken are based on instructions provided and agreed with Dorset Council. The framework of the assessment included in this report is provided by a variety of statutory, non-statutory and technical guidance which have all been subject to various debate and interpretation since publication. The approach followed by WPA in the risk assessment reflects existing Environment Agency (EA) guidance and industry good practice. Guidance most pertinent to the risk evaluation stage is provided by DEFRA.

The outcome of the exploratory site investigation indicates that infilled ground associated with the former SL Landfill Site is present 50-54m from the eastern boundary of the allotments, extending to the east. It was not possible to determine whether there are infilled soils between 21 and 50m from the allotment's eastern boundary. However, field observations suggest that infilled soils related to SL Landfill activities may commence 30m from this boundary, where an earth bank is present. The absence of infilled soils associated with the landfill activities is confirmed by boreholes at 18-21m from the eastern boundary of the allotments.

In terms of the human health risk assessment, site data and Site Specific Risk Assessment (SSRA) have determined that a contaminant linkage does not exist between Contaminants of Concern (CoC), mainly lead, revealed within made ground and infilled soils of Spittles Lane Landfill Site and CoC identified within allotments Land. The ground gas risk assessment further indicates that a ground gas risk from SL landfill Site is not present at the allotment site.

The SSRA further determines that concentrations of CoC do not represent a Significant Risk of Significant Harm (SPOSH) to human health receptors identified as site visitors (general public). It is noted that access to eastern areas off-site of the allotment is limited, due to significantly overgrown vegetation. This is considered to further reduce any potential risk to human health receptors. No further action is therefore considered necessary in this regard at this time.

However, the refined CSM and SSRA has identified potential contaminant linkages within the allotments land area, which should be further investigated. This includes the presence of lead within surface soils along the northern and eastern boundaries of the allotments.

Traces of asbestos fibres were also reported from a single surface soil sample, but such traces levels (below detection limit) are not considered to be significant.

Allotments can typically contain contaminant species for a variety of reasons closely associated with the use of the allotments themselves, as opposed to contamination migrated from neighbouring land. Examples include the informal import of a wide variety of soils and materials for fertilising/soil improvement. Additionally, ash and clinker were often used in the past to create pathways between allotments and as fertilizers.

Over the years, such materials will usually have included manure, other soils, ash, compost, industrial fertilizers/ pesticides etc, which could result in the contamination of soils at an allotment site. For lead, other sources of contamination may include atmospheric urban deposition (leaded petrol and other combustion processes) and particles of lead from painted wood, sheds, etc.

This report is in pursuit of the Council's role as an owner of land containing a former landfill site near to the allotments. As previously addressed, the objective of this exploratory site investigation was to determine whether a contaminant linkage exists within the allotments site and the former SL Landfill Site. Site investigation data and risk assessment indicate that such contaminant linkage is not present.

It is however recommended that the findings of this investigation are made available to the allotment's users. Although the surface soils reported to be contaminated with lead are along the boundaries of the allotments and this area is not used for the production of fruit and vegetables, there is a possibility that the lead exceedances may extend to areas where fruit and vegetables are produced for human consumption.

Further investigation is therefore recommended to assess lead levels within the soils used for production of fruit and vegetables. The further investigation should also consider the assessment of soils within areas where imported soils were incorporated as part of the ground stabilization works. Contamination testing should include lead bioavailability testing to determine the portion of lead which is available to for uptake to the human body.

At the time of the preparation of this report there is no evidence to suggest the allotments site could be determined as contaminated under Part 2A of the Environmental Protection Act 1990. However, contaminant linkages have been identified within allotments land, which should be further investigated.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Scope of Works

- 1.1.1 At the instruction of Dorset Council, a Phase 2 Exploratory Site Investigation and Risk Assessment has been undertaken for an allotments site behind Charmouth Car Park, Lyme Regis, DT7 3DR.
- 1.1.2 The purpose of the Phase 2 investigation is to assess ground conditions and provide environmental risk assessment in terms of land quality issues. The allotments are in close proximity to the historic Spittles Lane (SL) Landfill Site and there are concerns of potential environmental impacts from this off-site historical land use to the existing allotments.

As part of the intrusive site works, this Phase 2 investigation has investigated land between the former SL Landfill Site and the allotments to determine the proximity of infilled land to the allotments and subsequently stablish any potential liabilities or risks from the former Spittles Landfill Site to the allotments in the context of Part 2A of the Environmental Protection Act (EPA) 1990.

1.1.3 Based on the outcome of the site investigation and risk assessment for human health and controlled waters, the Conceptual Site Model (CSM) has been revised and updated to reflect the encountered site conditions.

A Site Specific Risk Assessment have subsequently been undertaken to establish firstly whether unacceptable risk as defined in Part 2A is present and secondly whether a possibility of harm to human health or property has been posed by the Spittles Lane (SL) Landfill Site to the allotments site.

Considering the outcome of such assessment, recommendations have been made as included in Section 10 of this report.

This report has been completed in accordance with CLR11, BS10175 and the Statutory Guidance to Part 2A of the Environmental Protection Act 1990.

1.2 Methodology

- 1.2.1 The approach followed in this assessment by WPA Consultants Ltd, trading as WPA Environmental (WPA), reflects existing Environment Agency guidance and industry good practice, and is structured as follows:
 - I. Identify the problem Preliminary Risk Assessment. This relates to the Phase 1 Desk Study Report, Final V1, by WPA Environmental, December 2019.

- II. Complete site investigation works, to gather information on the ground conditions revealed at the site and/or its surroundings and assess potential contaminant linkages identified by the Phase 1 Assessment.
- III. Assess contamination linkages to establish whether risks are negligible, low, medium or high, in the context of Part 2A of the EPA 1990, via comparison of reported soil contaminant concentrations with the appropriate Tier 1 Generic Acceptance Criteria for Allotments Land Use.
- IV. Provide conclusions and recommendations for the site based on a contaminated land risk assessment.

1.3 Limitations

- 1.3.1 It should be understood this Phase 2 Exploratory Site Investigation and Risk Assessment is a land quality assessment and does not purport to consider geotechnical engineering, ecological, flood risk or archaeological aspects of the allotments site which fall outside of the scope of this assessment and may require specific surveys.
- 1.3.2 The information contained in this report is intended for the use of Dorset Council. WPA takes no responsibility for the use of this information by any other party or for uses other than that described in this report.
- 1.3.3 It should be appreciated that whilst detailed references have been obtained and reviewed, there may be conditions at the site which could invalidate the conclusions and recommendations made in this report.

2.0 Site Description and Surrounding Areas

2.1 Site Description

- 2.1.1 The allotments site (Grid Reference 334405.81, 92505.62) is located to the east of Charmouth Road Car Park, east of Lyme Regis, at the border between Dorset and Devon in South West England. The postcode for the area is DT7 3DR. A site location plan is included as Drawing 1.
- 2.1.2 WPA understands that the allotments are owned by Lyme Regis Town Council but maintained and managed by Charmouth Road Allotment Association.

The allotments are accessed from a lane from Charmouth Car Park. The allotments generally slope down from north to south towards the cliffs and Lyme Bay to the south. The allotments have 36 plots and are used to grow fruit and vegetables suitable for the UK climate including onions, leeks, tomatoes, courgettes, carrots, potatoes, cabbages, fruit trees, etc. Some small animals such as chickens can be found at the site. Drawing 2 shows the allotments layout and plots distribution.

- 2.1.3 The edge of the cliffs is approx. 100m south of the allotment's southern boundary. The cliffs and foreshore between Lyme Regis (west) and Charmouth (east) are actively eroding and are prone to coastal erosion and large-scale landslides. These processes are mainly due to the geology and soft rocks of the cliff that are prone to weathering, and to surface and toe erosion.
- 2.1.4 WPA understands that following a major landslip in 2008, approx. 60-70m east of the eastern site boundary and 120m east of the end of the new seawall, where more than 400m of the cliff along the coastal stretch collapsed after a period of rainfall, major improvements to Lyme Regis Coastal Defence have been carried out. Some of the cliff improvements included a continuous pile wall from the southern part of Charmouth Car Park towards the east and into the southern part of the allotments. As part of the works a new drain was also put through the allotments to collect rainwater and prevent further erosion of the cliffs.

The piling and drainage work included the excavation and replacement of soils. WPA understands that the works were carried out by West Dorset District Council. Unfortunately, no further information and/or records have been provided regarding soils imported and currently present in the southern part of the allotments. WPA understands the works were completed in 2016. Drawing 3 shows the improvement ground works and approximate areas of the allotments where soils were excavated and replaced with imported materials.

2.2 Surrounding Areas

- 2.2.1 Generally, off-site surrounding areas include:
 - North: Spittles Lane and agricultural ground further north.
 - North-west: Football ground and Lyme Regis Football Club
 - West: Charmouth Car Park and Skate park. Charmouth Road further west and residential areas.

- South: Coastal Cliffs, Lyme Bay.
- East: Spittles Lane Landfill Site and The Spittles Coastal Cliffs.
- 2.2.2 The allotments are in close proximity to the historic Spittles Lane (SL) Closed Landfill Site. SL landfill is accessed from Spittles Lane which runs to the north of Lyme Regis Football Ground and the allotments site. Beyond the allotments, Spittles Lane becomes a track and then an overgrown footpath. The gate house of the former landfill (end of the track) is now derelict and covered by vegetation.
- 2.2.3 Dense vegetation is currently present from the eastern boundary of the allotments towards the former SL Landfill Site, to the east. The morphology of the land near the allotments may suggest that made ground is present in eastern areas close to the allotment's boundary. However, the presence of mature trees along and close to the allotments may also suggest that land in close proximity to its boundary has not been infilled. There are signs of recent fly tipping within these off-site open land areas.
- 2.2.4 As per section 2.0 of the Phase 1 Report, Bennett (2007) indicated that the western boundary of the landfill lies approx. 50m from the eastern boundary of the allotments. Comparison of field observations with google earth aerial photographs, which show the areas of landslides with waste materials approx. 50-60m east of the allotments site and 120m from the end of the new seawall, may corroborate records from Bennett (2007). However, it is not possible to identify the exact position or extent of the original landfill site from site inspections. Intrusive ground investigation works have been proposed for this purpose as included in this report.

Drawings 4 and 5 show the extent of the landfill suggested by Bennett 2007, in relation to waste deposition further recorded by WPA in April 2018 and March 2019.

3.0 INITIAL CONCEPTUAL MODEL & PRELIMINARY RISK ASSESSMENT

3.1 Preamble to the risk assessment

- 3.1.1 Within the Phase 1 Preliminary Risk Assessment (Phase 1 Desk Study Report), the Source-Pathway-Receptor methodology was utilised to identify known or potential contaminants on-site and/or off-site, the routes or means via which they may migrate and the potential humans, properties and/or controlled waters receptors, that may be affected by a contaminant if a suitable pathway were to exist.
- 3.1.2 The normal procedure for assessing land dictates that identified Potential Contaminant Linkages (the Source-Pathway-Receptor methodology) should be assessed and that an evaluation of the risks associated with each linkage should drive decisions regarding the status of the land as contaminated, unaffected by contamination or requiring further investigation.

Under Part 2A, the starting point should be that land is not contaminated land unless there is reason to consider otherwise. Only land where unacceptable risks are clearly identified, after a risk assessment has been undertaken in accordance with the Statutory Guidance, should be considered as meeting the Part 2A definition of contaminated land.

- 3.1.3 Under Part 2A, risks should be considered only in relation to the current use of the land. "Current use" means:
 - A. The use which is being made of the land currently.
 - B. Reasonably likely future uses of the land that would not require a new or amended grant of planning permission.
 - C. Any temporary use to which the land is put, or is likely to be put, from time to time within the bounds of current planning permission.
 - D. Likely informal use of the land, for example children playing on the land, whether authorised by the owners or occupiers, or not
- 3.1.4 Sites subject to Detailed Inspection under Part 2A by Local Authorities should be classified as Categories 1 to 4.

Category 1: Human Health Cases. A significant possibility of significant harm exists in any case where a Local Authority considers there is an unacceptably high probability, supported by robust science-based evidence that significant harm would occur if no action is taken to stop it.

Category 2/3: Human Health Cases. For land that cannot be placed into Categories 1 or 4, the local authority should decide whether the land should be placed into either: (a) Category 2: Human Health, in which case the land would be capable of being determined as contaminated land on grounds of significant possibility of significant harm to human health. This includes land where there is little or no direct evidence that similar land, situations or levels of exposure have caused harm

before, but nonetheless the authority considers on the basis of the available evidence, including expert opinion, that there is a strong case for taking action under Part 2A on a precautionary basis; or (b) Category 3: Human Health, in which case the land would not be capable of being determined on such grounds. This can include land where the risks are not low, but nonetheless the authority considers that regulatory intervention under Part 2A is not warranted.

Category 4: Human Health Case. Occur where there is no risk or that the level of risk posed is low. The authority may decide that the land is a Category 4: Human Health case as soon as it considers it has evidence to this effect, and this may happen at any stage during risk assessment including the early stages.

- 3.1.5 To build the initial conceptual model, the Phase 1 Desk Study Report used desk study data to identify all the Sources, Pathways and Receptors present on site. The elements of the conceptual model contained in Table 3/3 consider Potential Contaminant Linkages, their significance and the acceptability of risk for allotments land use.
- 3.1.6 When considering the Contaminants, Receptors and Pathways relevant to the Site, WPA has been mindful that the current and future land use are allotment gardens.

The risk assessment examines the consequence of a hazard to a receptor against the likelihood of its occurrence. The likelihood is rated accordingly:

• Certain: > 90% of hazard receptor linkage

•

- **Likely:** 60-90% of hazard receptor linkage
- **Possible:** 40-60% of hazard receptor linkage
- Unlikely: 10-40% of hazard receptor linkage
- Negligible: <10% of hazard receptor linkage
- 3.1.7 Using this information, a risk classification is then attached to each of the potential hazard sources in accordance with Table 3/1. The risk classifications are:
 - **Severe**: it is likely that the hazard source could cause harm to a designated receptor and harm would be significant.
 - Moderate: it is possible that the hazard source could cause harm to a designated receptor, but it is unlikely that the harm would be significant.
 - Mild: it is possible that the hazard source could cause significant harm to a designated receptor, however it is likely to be mild.
 - **Negligible**: the potential hazard source cannot cause significant harm to the receptor.

Tables 3/1 and 3/2 set out the potential consequences of that correspond to each classification of risk and the risk classification

Table 3/1	
Potential Consequence of Hazard Linkage	

Classification	Human Health	Controlled water	Built Environment	Ecosystems
Severe	Irreversible damage to human health	Significant pollution to a sensitive or important controlled water	Damage to a building or structure that would require repair or remedial measures in excess of £20,000.	Irreversible change to an existing ecological species, habitat or ecosystem. Prohibit proposed growth of species, ecosystem or habitat
Moderate	Reversible long- term damage to human health	Pollution to a non- sensitive controlled water	Damage to a building or structure that would require repair or remedial measures below £20,000.	Will impair the development of an existing species, ecosystem or habitat. Permit limited growth of a proposed species, ecosystem or habitat
Mild Reversible but Minor po short-term damage non-ser to human health controlle		Minor pollution to a non-sensitive controlled water	Repairable damage to building or structures which would not require excessive cost	Minor change or effects of development on species or habitat but does create long term effects on ecosystem.
Negligible	No discernible damage to human health	No discernible pollution likely to a non-sensitive controlled water	Insubstantial damage not requiring repair	No significant effects on existing or proposed species, habitats or ecosystems.

Table 3/2 Risk Classification

		Potential consequence of hazard linkage				
		Severe	Moderate	Mild	Negligible	
tor	Certain	High	High	Medium	Low	
l of cep	Likely	High	High	Low	Low	
	Possible	High	Medium	Low	Negligible	
elih zarc age	Unlikely	Medium	Low	Negligible	Negligible	
Like haz link	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	

3.2 Contaminant Sources

The statutory guidance for Part 2A, defines a Contaminant as:

"a substance which is in, on or under the land and which has the potential to cause significant harm to a relevant receptor, or to cause significant pollution of controlled waters."

- Potential historical/current contaminative land uses on-site: Active allotments.
- Historical/current contaminative land uses (potential off-site sources): Former Spittles Lane Landfill Site.

3.3 Pathways

The statutory guidance for Part 2A, defines a pathway as:

" a route by which a receptor is or might be affected by a contaminant."

Following an assessment of the environmental, geological and hydrogeological setting of the site, considering the existing and proposed land use and historic/current land uses within the site and its vicinity, pathways have been identified for contaminants/ground gas and hydrocarbon vapours, which could be on-site or potentially migrate from off-site areas to the allotments site. Further detail is provided in the CSM produced in Table 3/3 below

3.4 Receptors

The statutory guidance for Part 2A, defines a receptor as:

"something that could be adversely affected by a contaminant, for example a person, an organism, an ecosystem, property, or controlled waters"

Those that are not considered present are excluded from further assessment, although decisions taken at this time should be reviewed as and when the conceptual model is revisited.

Identified receptors associated with commercial land use are:

- Allotment Tenants/Site Users
- Allotment Site workers
- Property
- Controlled waters

Table 3/3 below summarises where potentially unacceptable risks that require further consideration have been identified.

Table 3/3 – Preliminary CSM Risk Summary

Use	Potential Sources	Likely Contaminants	Pathways	Receptors	Hazard Receptor Significance	Likelihood of Co	ontaminant Linkage	Consequence	Risk Prior to Mitigation
			Direct contact with soils (dermal contact and soil ingestion)	Allotment tenants/ site users	Toxic, carcinogenic or hazardous to human health.	Possible	Contaminants associated with the allotment land use varies significantly and depends on practices carried out during various periods of time by the allotment tenants. Depending on individual practices, contaminants can vary from plot to plot within an allotments site. Methodology to determine whether contamination has originated at the site as a result of allotment practices, comprise the investigation and testing of surface soils from different plots. Depending on contaminant concentrations reported from the soils, further testing may be carried out including produce testing of individual plots.	Moderate to Mild	Medium to Low
			Inhalation of dust and hydrocarbons /organic vapours (outdoor air)	Allotment tenants/site users	Asphyxiation risk and risk of explosion.	Possible		Moderate to Mild	Medium to Low
	Atmospheric urban deposition Paint from painted		Consumption of contaminated fruit and vegetables.	Allotment tenants and families/site users	Toxic, carcinogenic or hazardous to human health.	Possible		Moderate to Mild	Medium to Low
wood, shedsBonfires andBonfires andBonfires andWoodpreservativescreosote (magardens sincebefore 1928to presenttime.Tar oilsCompost and associated or and/or patho contaminantsAsbestos in construction materials in s Insulation of structures	Bonfires and ashes		Consumption of contaminated soil attached to fruit and vegetables	Allotment tenants and families/site users	Toxic, carcinogenic or hazardous to human health.	Possible		Moderate to Mild	Medium to Low
	Wood preservatives, e, g creosote (mainlyMet hyd (TPI PesticidesPesticidesphe solvTar oilspes dioxCompost and associated organic and/or pathogenic contaminantsAsbestos in construction materials in sheds. Insulation of structures	g Metals, hydrocarbons (TPH & PAH), phenols, solvents (SVOC), pesticides, dioxins, furans	Ingress of organic contaminants in plastic water supply pipes	Allotment tenants /site users	Toxic, carcinogenic or hazardous to human health.	Possible		Moderate to Mild	Medium to Low
			Inhalation of hydrocarbons/ and/or organic vapours (indoor air)	Allotment tenants/ site users	Asphyxiation risk and risk of explosion.	Unlikely	There is the potential of storage sheds associated with the allotment land use to be present, which could store fuels/organic chemicals used within the allotments and/or allotments machinery. It is noted that due to the possible shed's structure (leaky structures) and position of the allotments (top of the cliffs), the potential risk from significant accumulation and inhalation of organic vapours in enclosed structures/sheds is considered to be low.	Moderate	Low
		materials in sheds. Insulation of structures		Migration through permeable strata off-site	Controlled waters Surface drain and Lyme Bay	Toxic, carcinogenic or hazardous to human health and environment	Possible	The surface drain along the western site boundary flows from north to south towards Lyme Bay. Environmental impacts on Lyme Bay are considered to be reduced by significant dilution and dispersion processes at the Bay. Groundwater is not considered to be a sensitive receptor.	Mild

Use	Potential Sources	Likely Contaminants	Pathways	Receptors	Hazard Receptor Significance	Likelihood o	of Contaminant Linkage	Consequence	Risk Prior to Mitigation
Offsite: Former Spittles Lane (LS) Landfill Site From 1900s to approx. 1974 Made ground/ material		Metals, hydrocarbons, TPH, PAHs, phenols, Asbestos in made ground/infilled land.	Transport of contaminants through permeable soils and/or perched groundwater to beneath the site. Subsequent direct contact, ingestion of contaminated soils, ingestion of potentially contaminated allotment products	Allotment tenants and families/site users	Toxic, carcinogenic or hazardous to human health.	Possible	The SL Landfill Site is situated in close proximity to the allotments site and potential contaminant migration from the landfill site to the allotments is possible. It is however noted that contaminated land risks are likely to be reduced due to the topography of the area and expected perched groundwater/surface water movement, flowing from north to south towards Lyme Bay, rather than to the west towards the allotment gardens. An intrusive site investigation is recommended to investigate potential contaminant migration pathways. Also, to determine the proximity of the former LS Landfill Site to the allotments site.	Moderate	Medium
			Transport of contaminants through permeable soils and perched groundwater to beneath the site. Subsequent inhalation of fugitive emissions (outdoors)	Allotment tenants/site users	Toxic, carcinogenic or hazardous to human health.	Unlikely	Due to the extensive vegetative cover of the site and the allotments being upwind of the landfill, with predominantly westerly wind direction, this risk is not considered to be significant.	Moderate	Low
	Made ground/infilled material		Historical atmospheric deposition of contaminants from possible past burning activities at SL Landfill Site. Subsequent direct contact, ingestion of contaminated soils, ingestion of potentially contaminated allotment products	Allotment tenants and families/site users	Toxic, carcinogenic or hazardous to human health.	Unlikely	The allotments location (upwind) and airflow wind direction (as above) suggest this risk unlikely to be significant. An intrusive site investigation is however recommended to investigate this potential contaminant migration pathway.	Moderate	Low
		Ground gases (methane, carbon dioxide)	Transport of ground gas through permeable soils and groundwater to beneath the site. Accumulation and inhalation of landfill gas from off-site infilled areas to the allotments. Accumulation in enclosed spaces/buildings (indoors)	Allotment tenants/site users	Asphyxiation risk and risk of explosion.	Unlikely	There are limited enclosed structures /buildings present at the allotments site. Due to the likely shed's structure (leaky structures), position of the allotments (top of the cliffs), topography of the area and expected hydrogeological movement of groundwater/surface water towards Lyme Bay, the risk from significant migration, accumulation and inhalation of ground gas in enclosed structures/sheds at the allotments is considered to be low. This should however be considered by intrusive site investigation works, ground gas monitoring and ground gas risk assessment.	Moderate	Low

4.0 INTRUSIVE SITE INVESTIGATION

4.1 Site Investigation Strategy

4.1.1 Spittles Lane (SL) Landfill Site is a Dorset Council's (DC) asset, and it is Dorset Council's responsibility to investigate and assess whether this asset could pose a significant risk of significant harm (SPOSH) to off-site sensitive receptors, including the allotments site and its users.

Considering that allotments can typically contain contaminant species for a variety of reasons closely associated with the use of the allotments themselves (as opposed to contamination migrated from neighbouring), Dorset Council wishes to acquire empirical information which might help in refining the assessment of probability that any contaminants found on the allotments (if present) have (or have not) been derived from the Spittles Former Landfill, as opposed to being more intrinsic to the allotment land.

- 4.1.2 Dorset Council has asked WPA to consider the notion of designing appropriate intrusive sampling for this purpose. For an initial exploratory site investigation, WPA considers that this is a reasonable approach to establishing whether the allotment/landfill boundary presents any characteristics that indicate continuity and contaminant migration. The findings of the exploratory site investigation will subsequently determine whether further site investigation would be required including the intrusive investigation of soils at the allotments site.
- 4.1.3 Considering the above, the preliminary intrusive ground investigation works here reported are aimed to investigate ground conditions, contaminant linkages identified by the preliminary Conceptual Site Model (CSM) and assess potential contaminant migration pathways from SL Landfill Site to the allotments. The intrusive site investigation works are also aimed to investigate and assess the extent and proximity of Spittles Lane Landfill to the allotments site.
- 4.1.4 Prior to commencement of the intrusive ground works, geological conditions at the allotments site and its vicinity were assessed. Windowless sampling boreholes were previously used for the allotments and vicinity to investigate ground conditions for the ground stabilization works completed in 2016. From this experience, it was considered that this technique was likely to be appropriate for the intrusive site investigation works proposed along and in close proximity to the eastern boundary of the allotments.

The windowless sampling boreholes will enable the investigation of deep soils and facilitate ground gas and groundwater monitoring, (if required), as part of the site investigation works. For the intrusive site investigation works along the northern and eastern boundaries of the allotments, within allotments land, hand auger pits were excavated to avoid major disturbance of soils within the allotments site.

Sampling of soils off-site and within the allotments was targeted to determine contaminant levels within made ground, topsoil, infilled soils and indigenous soils and assess potential contaminant migration pathways from SL Landfill Site.

4.2 Intrusive Site Works

4.2.1 The exploratory intrusive site investigation works were undertaken on 08th and 10th July 2020. Windowless sampling boreholes were sunk along the northern and eastern boundaries of the allotments (land to the east and north of the allotments) to assess the nature of soils, investigate potential contaminant migration pathways from SL Landfill Site, and enable ground gas and groundwater monitoring to be undertaken for the site.

The boreholes facilitated the delineation of the western boundary of the landfill and enabled to assess its proximity to the allotments site by confirming or dismissing the presence of refuse/infilled soils. The boreholes extended to encountered indigenous soils below made ground and/or infilled soils and extended up to 8.0m bgl.

- 4.2.2 Due to access limitations, significant presence of overgrown vegetation and potential ground stability issues, the windowless sampling boreholes did not extend further than 40m from Spittles Lane track towards the south. However, the location of boreholes is considered sufficient to assess the proximity of the SL Landfill Site to the allotments and obtain appropriate data to assess potential contaminant migration pathways from SL Landfill Site to the allotments.
- 4.2.3 For land within the allotments site, hand dug auger pits were excavated along the northern and eastern site boundaries. The approximate location of the windowless sampling boreholes and hand auger pits is shown on Drawing 6 of this report. Table 4.2.1 below shows the rationale for the exploratory hole locations and collection of soil samples for contamination testing. Photographic records of the site works are included as Appendix A.

Borehole/Pit reference	Depth (m)	Location of Borehole/Hand Dug Pit	Samples Collected for Testing
WS01	Terminated at 4.0m bgl	Next to northern boundary of the allotments	0.20 – Made ground 2.70 – Indigenous Soils (Clay)
WS02	Terminated at 3.0m bgl	18m from the eastern boundary of the allotments	0.2-0.3 – Made ground 0.9-1.0 – Indigenous Soils (Clay)
WS03	Terminated at 3.0m bgl	21m from the eastern boundary of the allotments	0.2-0.3 – Made ground 0.8-1.2 – Indigenous Soils (Clay)
WS04	Terminated at 8.0m bgl	54m from the eastern boundary of the allotments	0.30 – Made ground 1.5-1.7 – Made ground/infilled soils 3.0-3.5 – Made ground/infilled soils
WS05	Terminated at 4.0m bgl	50m the eastern boundary of the allotments	0.3-0.4 – Made ground 1.4-1.7 – Made ground/infilled soils 2.3-2.5 – Made ground/infilled soils
WS06	Terminated at 4.0m bgl	50m from the eastern boundary of the allotments	0.30 – Made Ground 1.0 – Made ground/infilled soils 2.1-2.3 – Made ground/infilled soils
HA01-HA06	Terminated at 0.85 - 1.20m bgl	Hand dug auger pits along the northern and eastern site boundaries within allotments land	Samples collected from surface soils (0.10-0.30m bgl) and indigenous soils below (0.70-0.80m bgl)

Table 4.2.1 Site Investigation Works

4.2.4 Analysis of soils was undertaken based on the Conceptual Site Model (CSM) within the Phase 1 Report and ground conditions revealed by the site investigation. In total 24 of the 27 soil samples, taken from the boreholes and hand dug auger pits, were scheduled for contamination testing. Testing included: metals, pH, SOM, speciated PAH's, BTEX, MTBE, phenols, TPH CWG aliphatic/aromatic banding and asbestos ID.

Analysis was undertaken by i2 Analytical Environmental Science, an UKAS/MCERT's accredited laboratory. The soil samples were collected and placed in appropriate sampling containers, stored in refrigerated cool boxes, when on site or in transit. Samples were transferred under chain of custody to the laboratory via their courier.

- 4.2.5 For ground gas, three rounds of ground gas monitoring were undertaken from WS01, WS02 and WS03 during low and/or falling atmospheric pressure within a two months period.
- 4.2.6 For groundwater, groundwater inflow was not recorded during the site investigation works and collection and analysis of groundwater samples was therefore not undertaken as part of the site works.
- 4.2.7 The intrusive site investigation complied with current guidance included in BS5930, BS10175, BS 8576:2013 and other approved guidance for the investigation of contaminated land.

5.0 GROUND AND GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS

5.1. Ground Conditions

5.1.1 Windowless Sampling Boreholes

Soils encountered by the windowless sampling boreholes is shown on Table 5.1.1 below. For clarity, made ground soils in this report refer to soils which have been reworked and may contain man made components such as brick, glass etc, but are not necessarily linked with landfill activities.

Infilled soils are soils which have been infilled and contain waste materials linked with landfill activities, in this case, Spittles Lane Landfill Site.

Borehole	Location	Depth	Ground Conditions
ID		(m)	
WS01	Borehole to the	0.0-0.50	MADE GROUND: sandy very gravelly & cobbly
	north of the		CLAY with chert brick carbonaceous fragments
	allotments.		
	Excavated in close	0.5-2.20m	FILL: (loose) single sized coarse GRAVEL. Gravel
	proximity to a		associated with the new drain
	new cut off drain.	2 20 4 0	
	Borenole is	2.20-4.0m	INDIGENOUS SOILS: FIRM to still CLAY
	than land at the		
	allotments site.		
WS02-WS03	18-21m east	0.0 to	MADE GROUND: stiff friable sandy gravelly
		0.25-0.35	silt/clay with brick carbonaceous fragments
			limestone chert
		0.25-0.35 to	INDIGENOUS SOILS: Very stiff cobbly and
		0.90-1.20	gravelly CLAY.
		0.90-1.20 to	INDIGENOUS SOILS: Stiff to Very Stiff to Stiff
		3.00	CLAY.
WS04	54m east of	0.0-0.20	MADE GROUND: stiff friable sandy silt/clay with
	allotments and		brick carbonaceous fragments limestone chert
	30-40m south of		
	Spittles Lane	0.20 to 6.5	MADE GROUND: INFILLED SOILS
		6 5 to 8 0	INDIGENOUS SOUS: Stiff thinly laminated dark
		0.5 10 8.0	grey CLAY.
WS05 –	50m east 20 and	0.0-0.20	TOPSOIL: stiff friable sandy and gravelly clay/silt
WS06	2m south of		with flint chert and limestone
	Spittles Lane		
	respectively	0.20 to 2.8-	MADE GROUND: INFILLED SOILS
		3.5	
		2.8-3.5 to	INDIGENOUS SOILS: Stiff thinly laminated dark
		4.0	grey CLAY.

Table 5.1.1 Ground Conditions - Windowless Sampling Boreholes

Note that the windowless sampling boreholes logs do not differentiate made ground from infilled soils. Differentiation included in the Table above is based on description of the made ground materials and field data. The windowless sampling borehole logs are included in Appendix B.

5.1.2 Hand Auger Pits

Soils encountered by the Hand Auger Pits are shown on Table 5.1.2 below. The hand auger logs are included in Appendix B.

Hand Auger Pit ID	Location	Depth (m)	Ground Conditions
HA01 to HA04 And HA06	Hang auger pits excavated along the northern and eastern site	0.0 to 0.25-0.45	TOPSOIL: Stiff friable dark grey slightly sandy & gravelly organic clay/silt with flint chert brick limestone.
	boundaries	0.45 to	INDIGENOUS SOILS: Stiff to Very Stiff CLAY.
	within allotments land.	0.85-1.1	Cobble and gravelly CLAY was reported from HA03 and HA04 from 0.20- 0.30m to -0.70-0.80m bgl.
HA05	Hang auger pit excavated to the east of a new cut off drain (ground	0.0-0.50	MADE GROUND: Stiff slightly sandy vey gravelly cobbly & bouldery clay with predominantly angular chert brick carbonaceous fragments limestone. INDIGENOUS SOILS: Stiff to very stiff slightly gravelly
	stabilisation works)	0.50-1.00	cobbly CLAY. Gravel is angular chert.
		1.0-1.20m	INDIGENOUS SOILS: Stiff to very stiff CLAY

Table 5.1.2 Ground Conditions – Hand Auger Pits

5.2 Groundwater Conditions

Groundwater was not encountered in any of the boreholes and/or hang auger excavations during the site investigation works. The boreholes extended up to 8.0m bgl.

Ground gas monitoring undertaken in August – September 2020 reported groundwater levels from boreholes in close proximity to the allotments as shown on Table 5.2 below.

Borehole	Depth	Remarks
ID	(m)	
WS01	2.62m, 2.48m and 2.36m bgl	Water levels recorded during ground gas monitoring on 14 August, 10 and 25 September 2020. Borehole recorded made ground and fill gravel from a new drain up to 2.70m. Firm to stiff clay was reported below. Groundwater is likely to be perched surface water sitting on top of the CLAY soils.
WS02	2.97m	Water recorded only on one occasion (14 August 2020). Borehole terminated at 3.0m bgl. Groundwater likely to be perched/surface water sitting on top of CLAY soils and within the slotted standpipe.
WS03	2.68m, 2.79m and 2.59m bgl	Water levels recorded during ground gas monitoring on 14 August, 10 and 25 September 2020. Borehole terminated at 3.0m bgl. Groundwater likely to be perched/surface water sitting on top of CLAY soils and within the slotted standpipe.

Table 5.2 Groundwater Levels

Based on the above and considering the general geological conditions revealed by the intrusive site works, it is most likely that groundwater recorded during the ground gas monitoring relate to perched surface water sitting on top of CLAY soils.

5.3 Extent and Proximity of the SL Landfill Site to the allotments

Records included in the Phase 1 Desk Study Report prepared for the allotments suggested that the western boundary of the SL Landfill Site lies approx. 50m from the eastern boundary of the allotments site (Bennett 2007).

However, it was not possible to precisely identify the extent of the original landfill site from the site inspection and desk study investigation and intrusive ground works were recommended to confirm the landfill boundary predictions (western boundary of the landfill site) and determine its proximity from the allotments site.

The site investigation data has confirmed the presence of made ground/infilled soils 50-54m from the eastern boundary of the allotments site (WS04, WS05, WS06). The site investigation has further confirmed the absence of infilled soils 18-21m east of the eastern boundary of the allotments.

Field observations further suggest that infilled ground associated with SL landfill activities may commence 30m east of the eastern boundary of the allotments, where an earth bank of approximate 2.0m height is clearly identified. The earth bank extends to the east towards the former landfill site.

Extracts from Drawings 7 and 8 shows the predicted extent of infilled ground based on field observations and borehole data.



Extract from Drawing 7. Predicted extent of SL Landfill Site to the west based on field observations and borehole data.



Extract from Drawing 8. Predicted extent of SL Landfill Site to the west based on site data.

Drawing 8 compares the location of recorded infilled soils (borehole data) with records of the landslide with waste across the foreshore. As can be seen from the drawing, SL Landfill landslide is recorded approximate 50-60m east from the allotments site. Records of the most recent landslides from 2019 and 2020, show the landslide with waste material to originate below areas where infilled soils have been recorded by this borehole investigation.



Extract from Drawing 8

Considering the above, infilled ground associated with former landfill activities at Spittles Lane Landfill Site is confirmed 50-54m east of the allotment's boundary extending to the east. This corroborates records of the landslide with waste materials along the foreshore and predictions from Bennett 2007.

It is not possible to determine whether there are infilled soils between 21-50m from the allotment's eastern boundary. However, field observations suggest that infilled soils may commence 30m from the allotment's eastern boundary, where an earth bank is present. Site data further suggest that infilled soils found 50-54m east of the allotment are most likely to related to reworked soils present within the western boundary of the former landfill site. The absence of made ground/infilled soils associated with the landfill activities is confirmed by boreholes at 18-21m from the eastern boundary of the allotments.

6.0 SOIL RISK ASSESSMENT

6.1 Soil Contamination Testing and Human Health Risk Assessment

The Generic Acceptance Criteria (GACs) used to threshold contaminant levels at the site include: DEFRA Category 4 Screening Levels (C4SL's) and LQM/CIEH Suitable for Use Levels (S4ULs) for Allotments Land Use.

Table 6.1 below summarises the findings of the soil analysis results and reproduces the Tier 1 Generic Acceptance Criteria (GAC) used to threshold contaminant levels at the site. Exceedances of the Tier 1 GAC are highlighted in red.

The GACs used for the human health risk assessment is included as Appendix C. The soil analysis results certificate No 20-19823 is contained as Appendix D.

Determinant	LOD	Units	Assessment Criteria	No. Exceedances	Minimum	Maximum	Samples exceeding GAC
Arsenic	1	mg/kg	49	1	10	36	
Boron (water-soluble)	0.2	mg/kg	45	0	0.9	5.2	
Cadmium	0.2	mg/kg	4.9	0	<0.2	1.8	
Chromium III	1	mg/kg	18000	0	30	60	
Chromium VI	1.2	mg/kg	170	0	<1.2	<1.2	
Copper	1	mg/kg	520	0	13	130	
Lead	1	mg/kg	80	13	19	170 650 320 250 110 130 240 270 120 100 200 460 180	WS01 0.30 WS02 0.20-0.30 WS03 0.20-0.30 WS04 0.20-0.40 WS04 0.20-0.30 WS04 1.40-1.70m WS06 1.0m HA01 0.10-0.20m HA02 0.30m HA03 0.20m HA03 0.20m HA05 0.20-0.30m HA0.20m
Mercury	0.3	mg/kg	6	0	<0.3	1.7	
Nickel	1	mg/kg	230	0	19	100	
Selenium	1	mg/kg	88	0	<1.0	3.7	
Zinc	1	mg/kg	620	0	42	520	
Naphthalene	0.05	mg/kg	24	0	<0.05	<0.05	
Acenaphthylene	0.05	mg/kg	160	0	<0.05	<0.05	
Acenaphthene	0.05	mg/kg	200	0	<0.05	<0.05	
Fluorene	0.05	mg/kg	160	0	<0.05	<0.05	
Phenanthrene	0.05	mg/kg	90	0	<0.05	3.20	
Anthracene	0.05	mg/kg	2200	0	<0.05	0.59	
Fluoranthene	0.05	mg/kg	290	0	<0.05	5.5	

Table 6.1- Summary of Soils Analysis Results and GACs for Allotments Land Use

Determinant	LOD	Units	Assessment Criteria	No. Exceedances	Minimum	Maximum	Samples exceeding GAC
Pyrene	0.05	mg/kg	620	0	<0.05	5.2	
Benzo(a)Anthracene	0.05	mg/kg	13	0	<0.05	3.3	
Chrysene	0.05	mg/kg	19	0	<0.05	2.6	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.05	mg/kg	3.9	0	<0.05	5.3	WS01 0.30m
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.05	mg/kg	130	0	<0.05	1.7	
Benzo(a)Pyrene	0.05	mg/kg	5.7	0	<0.05	4.1	
Indeno(123-cd)Pyrene	0.05	mg/kg	39	0	<0.05	2.1	
Dibenzo(ah)Anthracene	0.05	mg/kg	0.43	0	<0.05	0.57	WS01 0.30m
Benzo(ghi)Perylene	0.05	mg/kg	640	0	<0.05	2.6	
Benzene	0.001	mg/kg	0.18	0	<0.001	<0.001	
Ethylbenzene	0.001	mg/kg	91	0	<0.001	<0.001	
M/P Xylene	0.001	mg/kg	170	0	<0.001	<0.001	
O Xylene	0.001	mg/kg	160	0	<0.001	<0.001	
Toluene	0.001	mg/kg	120	0	<0.001	<0.001	
Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	0.001	mg/kg		0	<0.001	<0.001	
TPH (C5-C6 aliphatic)	0.001	mg/kg	3900	0	< 0.001	< 0.001	
TPH (C6-C8 aliphatic)	0.001	mg/kg	13000	0	< 0.001	< 0.001	
TPH (C8-C10 aliphatic)	0.001	mg/kg	1700	0	< 0.001	< 0.001	
TPH (C10-C12 aliphatic)	1	mg/kg	7300	0	<1.0	1.9	
TPH (C12-C16 aliphatic)	2	mg/kg	13000	0	<2.0	20	
TPH (C16-C21 aliphatic)	8	mg/kg	270000	0	<8.0	22	
TPH (C21-C35 aliphatic)	8	mg/kg	270000	0	<8.0	<8.0	
TPH (C5-C7 aromatic)	0.001	mg/kg	57	0	< 0.001	< 0.001	
TPH (C7-C8 aromatic)	0.001	mg/kg	120	0	< 0.001	< 0.001	
TPH (C8-C10 aromatic)	0.001	mg/kg	51	0	< 0.001	< 0.001	
TPH (C10-C12 aromatic)	1	mg/kg	74	0	<1.0	<1.0	
TPH(C12-C16 aromatic)	2	mg/kg	130	0	<2.0	5.3	
TPH(C16-C21 aromatic)	10	mg/kg	260	0	<10	38	
TPH(C21-C35 aromatic)	10	mg/kg	1600	0	<10	90	
	DEFRA	DEFRA C4SLs, SOM 6%					

LQM/CIEH 2014 S4ULs

ND = No Asbestos Detected:

Traces of Asbestos Fibres detected in HA01 at 0.10-0.20m

6.2 Generic Quantitative Risk Assessment Results

6.2.1 Methodology: Substances present at above the assessment criteria thresholds are identified as potential contaminants of concern (COC) and should either be subject to further site-specific risk assessment or risk mitigation action.

With respect to the allotments site and land to the east identified as the former SL Landfill Site, the Generic Risk Assessment have been separately undertaken for soil samples outside the allotments area and soil within the allotments site.

In addition, soil samples have been separately analysed to consider the soil profile and/or origin of soils, e.g. topsoil, made ground, infilled soils (landfill materials) and indigenous soils below made ground and/or topsoil.

6.2.2 Quantitative Risk Assessment – Soils Outside Allotments Land

Made Ground and/or Infilled Soils

For inorganic contaminants, lead concentrations reported from boreholes at depths of between 0.20m-0.30m and up to 1.70m bgl were above the GAC for allotments land use of 80mg/kg. The lead concentrations which exceeded its GAC are shown below:

Determinant	Units	Assessment Criteria	No. Exceedances	Maximum	Samples exceeding GAC
Lead	mg/kg	80	7	170	WS01 0.30
				650	WS02 0.20-0.30
				320	WS03 0.20-0.30
				250	WS04 0.20-0.40
				110	WS05 0.20-0.30
				130	WS05 1.40-1.70m
				240	WS06 1.0m

All the lead exceedances were reported from soil samples described as made ground and/or infilled soils. Concentrations of lead from indigenous soils below made ground were low and below the GAC for allotments land use.

For organic contaminants, concentrations of benzo(b)fluoranthene of 5.3mg/kg and dibenzo(ah)anthracene of 0.57mg/kg, were above their respective GAC of 3.9mg/kg and 0.43mg/kg respectively. The exceedances were reported from WS01 at 0.30 from made ground soils. Concentrations of these contaminants from indigenous soils were low and below their respective GAC.

Due to the lead and PAHs concentrations above the GAC for allotments land use, further risk assessment has been undertaken for these contaminants as included in section 6.3 and 6.4 of this report.

All other organic and inorganic contaminant concentrations reported from the made ground/infilled soil samples were below their respective GAC for allotments land use.

Indigenous soils

All inorganic and organic contaminant concentrations were below their respective Generic Acceptance Criteria (GAC) for allotments land use. No further action is therefore considered necessary with relation to contaminant concentrations reported from natural soils in close proximity to the allotments site.

6.2.3 Quantitative Risk Assessment – Allotments Site

Topsoil and Made Ground

For inorganic contaminants, lead concentrations ranging between 100mg/kg and 270mg/kg were reported from surface topsoil samples to depths up to 0.30m bgl. These concentrations were reported from hand auger pits excavated along the northern and eastern boundary of the allotments (within allotments land) and are above the GAC for lead of 80mg/kg.

Made ground was only encountered within the allotments at HA05 location. The made ground soil sample reported lead levels of 460mg/kg, above the GAC of 80mg/kg. This soil sample reported the highest lead levels within the allotments site as shown in the table below.

Determinant	Units	Assessment Criteria	No. Exceedances	Maximum	Samples exceeding GAC
Lead	mg/kg	80	6	270 120 100 200 460 180	HA01 0.10-0.20m HA02 0.30m HA03 0.20m HA04 0.20m HA05 0.20-0.30m HA0.20m

It is noted that indigenous soils below the topsoil and single made ground sample (HA05) were low with levels ranging between 16mg/kg and 27mg/kg.

All other inorganic and organic contaminant concentrations from topsoil/made ground samples were low and below their respective GAC for allotments land use

Asbestos: Asbestos fibres described as Chrysotile- Loose Fibres below <0.001, were reported from a topsoil sample from HA01 at 0.10-0.20m. It is noted that only traces of asbestos fibres were reported at one location. Asbestos were not reported from any other samples from topsoil, made ground and/or indigenous soils.

Due to the lead exceedances and single asbestos occurrence reported from surface soils within allotments land, further risk assessment has been undertaken for these contaminants, as included in Section 6.3 and 6.5 of this report.

Indigenous soils

All inorganic and organic contaminant concentrations were below their respective Generic Acceptance Criteria (GAC) for allotments land use. No further action is therefore considered necessary with relation to contaminant concentrations reported from natural soils along the northern and eastern boundary of the allotments site.

6.3 Further Soil Risk Assessment – Lead

Further risk assessment has been undertaken for lead concentrations which exceeded the acceptance criteria for allotments land use. The further risk assessment includes the analysis of contaminant levels, location and depths of sampling points, likely source generation and plausible pathways between the potentially impacted soils and human receptors.

Contaminant Source: Based on site data and field observations, various sources of lead have been identified for the allotments site and its vicinity as described below:

Made Ground and Infilled Soils Associated with SL Landfill Site: Lead levels ranging between 130mg/kg and 650mg/kg were reported from made ground and infilled soils from surface levels up to 1.70m bgl.

The highest levels of lead of 650mg/kg and 320mg/kg were reported from WS02 and WS03 at 0.20-0.30m. These boreholes are located 18-21m east of the allotment's eastern boundary. Fly tipping has been identified within this area. Sources of lead at these locations are therefore suspected to be leaching of lead from metal containers and other metal items/waste identified within this area.

Infilled soils associated with former landfill activities were not encountered within these locations with indigenous soils (Clay) recorded from 0.30-0.35m to 3.0m bgl.

It is noted that although the above lead concentrations exceed the GAC for allotments land use (80mg/kg), the concentrations are below GAC for public open space (2) of 1300mg/kg. This GAC is considered more appropriate to threshold contaminant levels within areas not included within the allotments, as the consumption of allotment produce is not applicable for off-site areas.

It is further noted that lead concentrations reported from indigenous soils below made ground were very low, with concentrations reported as 19mg/kg (0.90m-1.0m) and 15mg/kg (0.80-1.20m) for WS02 and WS03 respectively. This suggests that the surface lead impaction is mainly contained within the made ground and the clay soils below are acting as a natural barrier, reducing the potential migration of lead into deeper substrate.

For WS04, WS05 and WS06, where soils were described as infilled soils associated with the SL Landfill Site, lead concentrations ranged between 110mg/kg and 250mg/kg. Although these concentrations are above the GAC for allotments land use (80mg/kg), the concentrations are not significantly elevated and are below GAC for residential land use without homegrown produce of 310mg/kg and GAC for public open space (2) of 1300mg/kg.

Sources of lead in infilled soils are likely to be associated with leaching of lead from potential metal fragments and other waste components potentially present in landfill and possibly within near surface fly tipped materials. It is further recognised that atmospheric deposition of lead from combustion of leaded petrol and other combustion processes may also have contributed to the lead levels at surface levels, which are reported to be greater than within deeper infilled soils.

Potential pathways: Pathways between contaminants of concern (lead) and human receptors include the direct contact pathway (direct soil ingestion, dermal contact and inhalation of dust). Inhalation of vapours is not applicable to lead as it is not a volatile contaminant. Consumption of contaminated fruit and vegetables is not applicable to areas off-site the allotments.

As previously discussed, the lead concentrations reported outside the allotments are below GAC for public open space (2) and the lead concentrations are therefore unlikely to represent a significant risk of significant harm (SPOSH) for potential human receptors (public) accessing these parts of the land off-site the allotments. It is further noted that areas off-site the allotments are not easily accessible due to overgrown vegetation and it is unlikely that the general public will be visiting these areas.

In terms of potential migration of lead through permeable soils and groundwater towards the allotments, this pathway has been dismissed by the findings of the site investigation. Indigenous soils encountered 18-21m from the eastern boundary of the allotments comprise firm to stiff CLAY, with no groundwater reported within the boreholes during the site investigation works.

Furthermore, the perched groundwater levels recorded during subsequent ground gas monitoring in boreholes suggest that any water movement flows from north to south towards Lyme Bay, in accordance with the topography of the area.

Lead is further considered to be contained within the made ground and infilled soils off-site the allotments site, with the indigenous clay below acting as a natural barrier, which prevents the vertical and/or horizontal migration of lead to other areas, including the allotments.

Considering the above, a pathway between lead impacted soils off-site of the allotments, in the vicinity of the closed landfill, and soils at the allotment site is not confirmed and a contaminant linkage between off-site lead impacted soils and the allotments is unlikely to exist.

In terms of potential risk to the public, lead concentrations within areas off-site the allotments are below GAC for public open space (2) and are considered not to represent a significant risk of significant harm (SPOSH) to identified human health receptors. No further action is therefore considered necessary in this regard.

Lead levels reported at surface level at the allotments site are further considered to originate from a different source and are unlikely to be linked to lead levels reported outside of the allotments site as discussed below.

Topsoil and Made Ground - Allotments Land

Lead concentrations ranging between 100mg/kg and 270mg/kg were reported from topsoil samples from surface levels up to 0.30m bgl, from soils along the northern and eastern boundaries of the allotments site.

A single lead concentration of 460mg/kg was also reported from soils described as made ground. Made ground was only encountered at one location (HA05), within an area reported as likely to have imported soils related to a new cut off drain installed as part of the ground stabilization works completed in 2016. For further information, refer to Drawing 3 of this report.

Testing results indicate that the lead impaction is only present within surface soils up to 0.30m. Indigenous soils described as stiff to very stiff CLAY were encountered from 0.20-0.30m bgl up to 1.2m bgl. Lead concentrations within these soils were very low with concentrations ranging from 16mg/kg to 27mg/kg.

Sources of lead in the surface soils can be expected to vary and may include atmospheric urban deposition of lead (leaded petrol and other combustion processes), particles of lead from painted wood, sheds, etc, and other practices carried out within the allotments.

For the single higher lead concentration of 460mg/kg, a metal tank was identified next to the sampling location. Leaching of lead from this metal container may be the source of the lead levels within the soils. Other sources may include atmospheric urban deposition of lead and/or lead present within the made ground soils.

It is noted that the GAC for allotments land use of 80mg/kg uses very conservative parameters as significant ingestion of fruit and vegetables is considered within the modelling. For comparison, GAC for residential land use with homegrown produce is 200mg/kg, and for residential land use without homegrown produce is 310mg/kg.

Although the surface topsoil samples exceeded the GAC for allotments land use of 80mg/kg, apart from the lead concentrations from made ground soils, concentrations of 100mg/kg and 270mg/kg were not significantly elevated and below GAC for residential land use without homegrown produce.

Potential pathways: Pathways between contaminants of concern (lead) and human receptors (users of the allotments) include the direct contact pathway (direct soil ingestion, dermal contact and inhalation of dust), and consumption of allotments products.

It is stressed that testing of soils was undertaken along the northern and eastern boundaries of the allotments and not from soils used within the allotments to grow fruit and vegetables.

Site data further suggest that the lead is confined within the surface soils and that indigenous clay below topsoil and made ground act as a natural barrier preventing the horizontal and vertical migration of lead to other areas.

The objective of this exploratory site investigation was to determine whether a contaminant linkage exists within the allotments site and the former SL Landfill Site. The site investigation data indicates that contaminant linkages are not present and the lead contaminated soils at the allotments do not originate from potential contaminant migration from the former SL Landfill site.

It is noted that although the investigated soils are along the boundaries of the allotments and these areas are not use for the production of fruit and vegetables, there is a possibility that the lead exceedances may extent to areas where fruit and vegetables are grown for human consumption. It is further noted that the highest lead concentration was reported from made ground soils, within an area where WPA understands that imported soils may have been placed as part of the ground stabilization works.

It is therefore recommended that the findings of this investigation are made available to the allotment's users and that further investigation is undertaken to assess lead levels within the soils used for production of fruit and vegetables. The further investigation should include lead bioavailability testing to determine the portion of lead which is available for uptake to human receptors.

The further investigation should also consider the investigation and assessment of soils within areas where imported soils were incorporated as part of the ground stabilization works.

6.4 Further Soil Risk Assessment - Benzo(b)fluoranthene and Dibenzo(ah)anthracene

Benzo(b)fluoranthene of 5.3mg/kg and dibenzo(ah)anthracene of 0.57mg/kg, were above their respective GAC for allotments land use of 3.9mg/kg and 0.43mg/kg respectively. The exceedances were reported from WS01 from made ground soils at 0.30m bgl.

Contaminant Source: WS01 is located outside allotments land, next to a track, to the north of the allotments. Potential sources of the PAHs within surface soils can vary significantly and may include atmospheric deposition of ash from bonfires, fires and other combustion processes, wash off of wood preservatives and tar oils containing PAHs, etc.

Although the above PAHs exceed the GAC for allotments, the PAH concentrations are below GACs of open space (2) of 16mg/kg and 1.4mg/kg respectively. The reported PAHs concentrations are therefore considered not to represent a risk to the public, potentially accessing this area.

Potential Pathways: Site data indicates that the PAHs have been confined within the made ground and are not migrating down the soil profile to impact indigenous soils. The indigenous clay below made ground is further considered to act as a natural barrier for vertical/horizontal contaminant migration.

This is confirmed by chemical testing, which report very low concentrations of the above PAH in indigenous soils below the made ground. Furthermore, the above contaminants have only been recorded at one location, outside allotments land, suggesting that the PAHs are not migrating to other areas.

Based on the above, a contaminant linkage between off-site PAH impacted soils and the allotments is not confirmed by this risk assessment. In terms of the general public, the benzo(b)fluoranthene and dibenzo(ah)anthracene are below GACs of open space (2) and considered not to represent a Significant Risk of Significant Harm (SPOSH) to potential human receptors.

No further action is therefore considered necessary with regard to the PAH concentrations reported outside the allotments site.

6.5 Further Soil Risk Assessment - Asbestos

There is no safe level to utilise as an assessment criterion for asbestos. It is however noted that the asbestos testing results reported Chrysolite fibres below the detection limit of <0.001%. This indicates that although traces of asbestos fibres are present within this single location (HA01 at 0.10m- 0.20m), the concentrations are not significant to represent a significant risk of significant harm (SPOSH) to human health receptors.

Asbestos fibres/Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) were not reported from any other soil sample and/or visually identified during the site works. It is further considered unlikely that the reported asbestos fibres at HA01 originate from historical landfill activities at the former SL landfill site and its single occurrence can be an anomaly or a result of the various activities potentially undertaken by the allotments users.

It is not part of the scope of this report to assess contaminant concentrations within allotments soils, which could be intrinsic of the allotments land use. It is further noted that the traces of asbestos reported by the chemical testing are not significant and unlikely to represent a human health risk to the allotment users.

However, there may be the opportunity in the future to investigate suitability of soils within the allotments land and determine whether there is the potential for ACMs/asbestos fibres and/or other common contaminants within allotments land use such as lead and PAHs to be present within active parts of the allotments soils.

7.0 GROUNDWATER RISK ASSESSMENT

7.1 Groundwater risk assessment has been undertaken for contaminants which exceeded the GAC for allotments land, to determine whether they could represent a potential risk to controlled water receptors.

Sensitive controlled water receptors are identified as Lyme Bay, to the south of the allotments and Spittles Lane Landfill Site.

- 7.2 Exceedances of the GAC for lead and traces of asbestos fibres were reported from made ground and topsoil up to 0.30m bgl within the allotments. Exceedances of the GAC for lead, benzo(b)fluoranthene and dibenzo(ah)anthracene, were also reported from areas off-site the allotments, from made ground and infilled soils up to 1.7m bgl.
- 7.3 As discussed in section 6.3, the GACs for allotments land use uses very conservative parameters in the modelling calculations and their exceedance does not necessarily indicate a significant risk of significant harm (SPOSH) to controlled water receptors.

In terms of contaminant migration and potential impact on controlled waters, indigenous soils below topsoil/made ground/infilled soils were reported as not contaminated, with CoC concentrations reported as very low and below their respective GAC for allotments land use.

It is therefore considered that CoC are contained within topsoil, made ground and infilled soils and have not leached and impacted natural clay soils below. The natural clay soils are further considered to act as a barrier and reduce potential vertical and/or horizontal contaminant migration.

The risk to Lyme Bay is further reduced by the absence of significant groundwater inflow within the studied area, which reduces the potential risk of significant contaminant leaching and migration and impact on Lyme Bay.

7.4 Considering the above, a significant risk of significant harm (SPOSH) is not perceived from CoC to controlled water receptors.

All other organic and inorganic soil contaminant concentrations were low and considered not to represent a risk to controlled water receptors. No further action is therefore considered necessary in this regard.

8.0 GROUNDGAS MONITORING AND RISK ASSESSMENT

Ground gas monitoring was undertaken from boreholes in close proximity to the allotments boundary to assess whether there was a potential risk to the allotments from potential ground gas production and migration from Spittles Lane (SL) Landfill Site.

8.1 Ground Gas Monitoring Results

Three rounds of ground gas monitoring were undertaken on 14 August, 10 September and 25 September 2020, during low and/or falling atmospheric pressure.

The ground gas monitoring certificates are contained in Appendix E. Table 8.1 summaries hydrocarbon vapours and ground gas concentrations reported from the boreholes.

Date	Parameter	WS01	WS02	WS03
14.08.20	Methane (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Carbon Dioxide (%)	0.2	2.4	2.3
	Flow Rate (I/hr)	0.0	0.0	0.0
	VOCs	0.2	0.1	0.0
	Barometric Pressure	1007	7 mbar, Fallin	ıg
10.09.20	Methane (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Carbon Dioxide (%)	0.2	2.2	2.5
	Flow Rate (I/hr)	0.0	0.0	0.0
	VOCs	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Barometric Pressure	101	6mbar, Fallin	g
25.09.20	Methane (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Carbon Dioxide (%)	0.1	3.0	3.4
	Flow Rate (I/hr)	0.0	0.0	0.0
	VOCs	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Barometric Pressure	99	9 mbar, level	

•	Table 8.1	Ground	gas and	VOCs monitor	oring results

8.2 Ground Gas Risk Assessment

8.2.1 From the ground gas monitoring, maximum ground gas levels during the three rounds of monitoring were reported as follow:

Gas flow: 0.0 /h Methane: 0.0% Carbon dioxide: 3.4% - WS03 (25.09.2020) - Low atmospheric pressure VOC: No significant concentrations were reported from the boreholes, with maximum levels of 0.2ppm from WS01.
8.2.2 A gas screening value can be calculated by using the following equation:

Gas Concentration (%) x Flow Rate (I/hr) = Gas Screening Value (I/hr)

Gas Screening Values, based on worst case conditions or location, for methane and carbon dioxide concentrations and flow rates are:

Methane GSV	=	0.0 x 0.0 l/hr =0.0 l/hr = CS1
Carbon Dioxide GSV	=	0.034 x 0.0 l/hr =0.0 l/hr = CS1

The GSVs for methane and carbon dioxide equates to Characteristic Situation 1 (CS1). Under this classification, negligible gas regime is identified, and gas protection measures are not considered necessary for any enclosed structures.

- 8.2.3 For Volatile Hydrocarbons (VOCs), maximum VOC concentrations of 0.2ppm are not significant and considered not to indicate a hydrocarbon vapour risk. Chemical laboratory testing further reports all TPH concentrations below their respective GAC for allotments land use, with all volatile fractions reported below their respective detection limit.
- 8.2.4 It is therefore considered that a risk from ground gas (methane and carbon dioxide) and/or hydrocarbon vapours to the allotments site is not perceived by this investigation.

It is further noted that firm/ stiff to very stiff CLAY was reported as indigenous soils beneath the made ground. The clay is considered to acts as a natural vertical/ horizontal barrier and further reduces any potential risk from ground gas migration to the allotments site.

No further action is therefore considered necessary with regard to ground gas migration and its potential impact on the allotments site.

9.0 REFINED CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

9.1. Methodology

- 9.1.1 Following completion of the different stages of site investigation works, the Conceptual Site Model (CSM) has been revised. The Source-Pathway-Receptor methodology has been utilised to identify contaminants on-site and/or off-site, the routes or means via which they may migrate and the risks to humans, property or controlled waters, that may be affected by the contaminant, if a suitable pathway were to exist.
- 9.1.2 It is stressed that the refined CSMs detailed below are based on the outcome of the exploratory site investigation undertaken for land in close proximity to the allotments and within the boundaries of the allotment site.

The scope of the exploratory site investigation was to determine whether a contaminant linkage existed within the allotments site and the former SL Landfill Site. Therefore, soil samples analysed for contamination relate to soils within 50-54m from the eastern boundary of the allotments and from along the northern and eastern boundaries within allotments land.

There is therefore the possibility that concentrations of contaminants analysed by this investigation may vary significantly within the core of Spittles Lane (SL) Landfill Site and/or within soils used for production of fruit and vegetables within the allotments.

Source	Pathway	Receptor	Likelihood	Risk
lead, benzo(b)flu oranthene and dibenzo(ah) anthracene	Direct contact with soils (dermal contact and soil ingestion	General Public	Although CoC exceed GAC for allotments land use, further risk assessment determines that levels of CoC are below GAC for Public Open Space (2). This land use is more appropriate to threshold contaminant for land off-site the allotments. Since all CoC are below their respective GACs for Public Open Space, a human health risk is not perceived for the public/users of the area. No further action is necessary	Negligible
	Migration and Impaction of Controlled waters	Controlled Waters (Lyme Bay)	Risk assessment to controlled waters indicate a negligible risk from the reported contaminant concentrations to Lyme Bay. No further action is necessary	Negligible
	Migration from off-site to the allotments site	Allotments Soils, allotments produce, allotments users	Assessment of the topography and hydrogeological conditions of the area indicate that the potential contaminant migration from land off-site to the allotments is highly unlikely. This has been further confirmed by contamination testing results. A contaminant linkage between land off-site including SL Landfill Site and the allotments is not considered to be present. No further action is necessary.	Negligible
Ground Gas generated by infilled soils at SL Landfill Site	Migration through the made ground and any preferential pathways	Shed structures	Ground gas and VOCs levels considered not to represent a risk. Gas regime identified as CS1 where a negligible risk is perceived from ground gas/VOC vapours. No further action is necessary.	Negligible

9.2 Refined CSM - Land off-site of the Allotments

9.3 **Refined CSM - Allotments Land**

Source	Pathway	Receptor	Likelihood	Risk
Asbestos fibres	Inhalation of Airborne fibres Asbestos fibres attached to fruit and vegetables	Allotments users	Asbestos fibres/ACMs were not reported from any other soil sample within the allotments and/or off-site. The single reported occurrence could be an anomaly, or a result of activities intrinsic of the allotments site. The reported levels of Chrysolite fibres below detection limit of <0.001%, indicates that although traces of asbestos fibres are present within this single location (HA01 at 0.10m- 0.20m), the concentrations are not significant to represent a significant risk of significant harm (SPOSH) to human health receptors. No further action is required.	Low to Negligible
Lead	Direct contact with soils (dermal contact and soil ingestion) Consumption of contaminated fruit and vegetables.	Allotments users	Lead concentrations above the GAC for allotments land use of 80mg/kg were reported from surface soil samples along the northern and eastern boundaries of the allotments Although the investigated soils are not use for production of fruit and vegetable, there is a possibility that the lead exceedances may extent to areas where fruit and vegetables is undertaken for human consumption. Should soils contaminated with lead be present within areas of the allotments used for production of fruit and vegetables, a Medium Risk to human health will be perceived. Further investigation is recommended to assess lead levels within the soils used for production of fruit and vegetables.	Medium
	Migration and Impaction of Controlled waters	Controlled Waters (Lyme Bay)	Risk assessment to controlled waters indicate a negligible risk from the reported contaminant concentrations to Lyme Bay. No further action is necessary	Negligible

- 9.3.1 As above, contaminants of concern (CoC) are considered most likely to originate from activities undertaken at the allotments site, rather than been derived from the former Spittles Lane Landfill Site.
- 9.3.2 It is not part of the scope of this report to further assess concentrations of contaminant which are intrinsic within the allotments land. It is however recommended that the findings of this investigation are made available to the allotments users and that further investigation is undertaken to assess lead levels within the soils used for production of fruit and vegetables. The further investigation should include lead bioavailability testing to determine the portion of lead which is available to the human body.

10.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

10.1 Exploratory Site Investigation and Ground Conditions

- 10.1.1 The Phase 2 intrusive site investigation works aimed to investigate ground conditions, contaminant linkages identified by the preliminary Conceptual Site Model (CSM) included in the Phase 1 Desk Study Report and assess potential contaminant migration pathways from SL Landfill Site to the allotments. The intrusive site investigation works also aimed to investigate and assess the extent and proximity of SL Landfill to the allotments site.
- 10.1.2 Ground conditions revealed by the investigation for land outside the allotments (18-21m east) include made ground up to 0.35m, underlain by indigenous soils described as Firm, Stiff and Very Stiff Clay. For soils 50-54m from the eastern boundaries of the allotments, made ground and infilled soils associated with former landfill activities at SL Landfill Site were revealed up to 6.5m bgl, underlain by Stiff Clay.
- 10.1.3 For the allotments, ground conditions are generally described as topsoil up to 0.45m bgl, underlain by Stiff to Very Stiff Clay. Made ground up to 0.50m bgl was recorded at a single location, (HA05), likely to relate to imported soils used at the allotments as part of the ground stabilization works. The made ground was underlain by indigenous Stiff to Very Stiff Clay.
- 10.1.4 Groundwater was not encountered within the site investigation works. Groundwater recorded during ground gas monitoring in boreholes is considered to relate to perched surface water sitting on top of CLAY soils.

10.2 SL Landfill Western Boundary and Proximity to the Allotments Site

10.2.1 Infilled ground associated with former landfill activities at Spittles Lane Landfill Site is confirmed 50-54m east of the allotment's boundary extending to the east. This corroborates records of the landslide with waste materials along the foreshore and predictions from Bennett 2007.

Site data further suggest that infilled soils found 50-54m east of the allotment are most likely to related to reworked soils present within the western boundary of the former landfill site.

Although it was not possible to determine whether there are infilled soils between 21-50m from the allotment's eastern boundary, field observations suggest that infilled soils related to SL Landfill activities may commence 30m from the allotment's eastern boundary, where an earth bank is present.

The absence of made ground/infilled soils associated with the landfill activities is confirmed by boreholes at 21-18m from the eastern boundary of the allotments.

10.3 Contaminants Linkages

10.3.1 Land Off-site the Allotments

Contaminants of Concern: The refined CSM and Site Specific Risk Assessment (SSRA) undertaken for Contaminants of Concern (CoC) identified as lead, benzo(b)fluoranthene and dibenzo(ah)anthracene, have determined that concentrations of CoC do not represent a Significant Risk of Significant Harm (SPOSH) to human health receptors, identified as the general public.

The level of risk for potential human health receptors is therefore considered to be **Negligible**. It is addressed that access to eastern areas off-site of the allotment is limited, due to significantly overgrown vegetation. This is considered to further reduce any potential risk to potential users of the area.

A **Negligibl**e risk is further perceived to controlled water receptors for the above CoC. No further action is considered necessary in this regard.

Migration Pathways: For the potential contaminant migration pathway from off-site the allotments to the allotments site, the refined CSM and SSRA indicates that a contaminant linkage does not exist between Contaminants of Concern (CoC) within made ground/infilled soils off-site and CoC identified within allotments Land.

The firm/stiff/very stiff CLAY encountered by the site investigation works is considered to act as a natural barrier to prevent any potential lateral/vertical contaminant migration. The risk is further reduced by the absence of significant groundwater and topography of the area. This has been further confirmed by contamination testing results.

Ground Gas: The ground gas risk assessment further indicates that a ground gas risk from SL landfill Site is not present for the allotments.

A **Negligible Risk** is therefore determined for the above contaminant linkages by the refined CSM. No further action is considered necessary in this regard.

10.3.2 Allotments Land

The refined CSM and SSRA has identified potential contaminant linkages within allotments land, which should be further investigated. This includes the presence of lead within surface soils along the northern and eastern boundaries of the allotments. Traces of asbestos fibres were also reported from a single surface soil sample, but at trace levels (below detection limit), considered not to be significant.

Allotments can typically contain contaminant species for a variety of reasons closely associated with the use of the allotments themselves, as opposed to contamination migrated from neighbouring land. For lead, sources of contamination may include atmospheric urban deposition (leaded petrol and other combustion processes) and particles of lead from painted wood, sheds, etc, and other activities intrinsic of allotments land. It is noted that the surface soils reported to be contaminated with lead are along the boundaries of the allotments and are not used for production of fruit and vegetable, However, there is a possibility that the lead exceedances may extend to areas where fruit and vegetables are grown for human consumption.

Should soils contaminated with lead be present within other areas of the allotments (used for food production), a **Medium Risk** to human health will be perceived by the refined CSM. On this basis, further investigation and assessment is recommended to determine the level of risk and assess potential impacts on human receptors.

10.4 Statutory Guidance

10.4.1 At the time of the preparation of this report there is no evidence to suggest the allotments site could be determined as contaminated under Part 2A of the Environmental Protection Act 1990. However, contaminant linkages have been identified within allotments land, which should be further investigated.

It is therefore recommended that the findings of this investigation are made available to the allotment's users. Further investigation is recommended to assess lead levels within the soils used for production of fruit and vegetables. The further investigation should also consider the assessment of soils within areas where imported soils were incorporated as part of the ground stabilization works. Contamination testing should include lead bioavailability testing to determine the portion of lead which is bioavailable to the human body.

WPA stresses that this Phase 2 Investigation is a factual land quality assessment and does not purport to consider geotechnical/structural engineering, ecological, flood risk or archaeological aspects, which fall outside of the scope of this assessment and may require specific surveys.

The evaluation and conclusions included in this report do not preclude the existence of soil or groundwater contamination or ground gas hazards, which could not reasonably have been revealed by this Phase 2 exploratory investigation. Hence, this report should be used for information purposes only and should not be construed as a comprehensive characterisation of the allotments and its surroundings,

It is stressed that this report is in pursuit of the Council's role as an owner of land containing a former landfill site near to the allotments. It is widely acknowledged that allotment land can contain significant and measurable levels of a wide variety of contaminants, due to the range of materials brought to the land, for example by allotment owners or holders themselves. Therefore, great care should be exercised in interpreting the results of this investigation, particularly if considering any putative contaminant linkages between the disused Spittles Lane Landfill Site and the allotments site.

This report is for the exclusive use of the named client and their exclusive agents; no warranties or guarantees are expressed or should be inferred by any third parties. WPA Consultants Ltd disclaims any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the agreed scope of the work.

WPA October 2020

REFERENCES

Reference has been made to the following publications and government guidance in the execution of this investigation and in writing this report.

BS 5930, BS 10175 and BS 8576.

CIRIA Reports 665 and C659.

CLEA documentation.

CLR Publications 1-6

Contaminated Land Research Document 7 – Assessment of Risks to Human Health from Land Contamination: An overview of the development of the soil guideline values and related research.

Contaminated Land Research Document 8 – Potential Contaminants for the Assessment of Contaminated Land.

Contaminated Land Research Document 9 – Contaminants in Soil: Collation of toxicological data and intake values for humans.

Contaminated Land Research Document 10 – The Contaminated Land Exposure Assessment (CLEA) Model: Technical basis and algorithms.

Contaminated Land Research Report CLR 11, Environment Agency (2004). Model Procedures for the Management of Contaminated Land: Risk Assessment Procedure.

DEFRA and the EA Documentation and Toxicological Reports

Research and Development Technical Reports P5-066/TR and P5/065/TR relating to Technical Aspects of site investigation and Procedures for soil sampling strategies.

Environment Agency technical advice to third parties on pollution of controlled waters for Part IIA of the EPA1990 (also relevant to planning).

R&D Publication 66 – Guidance for the Safe Development of Housing on Land Affected by Contamination.

Waste Management Papers

Department of the Environment (1993). Waste Management Paper No 26A, Landfill Completion, A technical memorandum providing guidance on assessing the completion of licensed landfill sites. HMSO, 47pp, ISBN 0-11-752807-2

Department of the Environment (1991). Waste Management Paper No 27, Landfill Gas, A technical memorandum providing guidance on the monitoring and control of landfill gas. HMSO, 82pp, ISBN 0-11-752488-3

The UK Approach for Evaluating Human Health Risks from Petroleum Hydrocarbons in soils

DRAWINGS AND APPENDICES

Drawing 1 Site Location Plan



Drawing 2 Allotments Layout Plan



Drawing 3 Lyme Regis Coastal Defence Works – Charmouth Car Park and Allotments

DRAWING 3. Lyme Regis Coastal Defence Works – Charmouth Car Park and Allotments



Not to Scale

Drawing 4 Spittles Lane Landfilled Areas – Walkover Survey and Aerial Photography

DRAWING 4. Estimated Spittles Lane Landfilled Areas – Walkover Survey and Aerial Photography



Estimated Spittles Lane Landfilled Areas - Bennett 2007 Estimated Western Landfill Boundary based on Walkover Survey March 2019. Waste observed on the landslide toe and foreshore during walkover survey.

Not to Scale

Drawing 5 Spittles Lane Landfill Site -Estimated Boundaries (Bennett 2007, WPA 2018, WPA 2019)



Drawing 6 Site Investigation Plan

DRAWING 6. SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN



Drawing 7 Predicted Extent of SL Landfill Site to the West – Field Observations and Site Data



DRAWING 7. Predicted Extent of Landfill to the West – Field Observations and Site Data

Drawing 8 Predicted Extent of SL Landfill Site to the West – Site Data

DRAWING 8. Predicted Extent of Landfill to the West – Site Data



Appendix A Photographic Records

APPENDIX A. Photographic Records



Plate 1. Allotments Site, Spittles Lane, Lyme Regis



Plate 2. Plate shows location of WS02



Plate 5. Plate shows soils from WS03



Plate 3. Plate shows soils from WS02



Plate 6. Plate shows soils from WS01



Plate 4. Plate shows location of WS03



Plate 7. Plate shows soils from WS04 Landfill Area

Allotments Site, Spittles Lane, Lyme Regis



Plate 8. Plate shows soils from WS05 Landfill Area



Plate 9. Plate shows soils from WS06 Landfill Area



Plate 10. Plate shows Hand Auger Pit within Allotments



Plate 11. Plate shows Hand Auger Pit within Allotments



Plate 12. Plate shows soils from Hand Auger Pits



Plate 13. Plate shows soils from Hand Auger Pits

Appendix B Borehole Logs Hand Auger Pit Logs

	A Te	DVAN(CED INVES 07970 46	TIGATION SYSTE	EMS LTD			BOREHO	DLE RECO	RD	Borehole
A	S W	mail: /eb:	enquiries www.win	@windowsamplir dowsampling.com	າg.com າ			(Windo	w Samplin	g)	Number
Site:	_				Engineer:				Drilling Equipme	ent:	W601
The Spitt	les, Lym	e Regis	3		WPA Consult	ing Ltd			Competitor 130		¥¥301
Client:					Elevation m	AOD: Ea	sting:	Northing:	Start:	Finish:	Scale:
Dorset C	ounty		[33	4524.00	92776.00	08/07/2020	08/07/2020	1:50
GROU	ND WA	TER	SAMPL	ING & IN SITU	TESTING			STRATA F	ECORD		Sheet 1 of 1
Strike	Well	Depth (m)	Depth/Type (m)	Standard Penetration Testing	a Sampler / Recovery	Depth De mBGL mA	DD Key	~	[Description	
			(m)	Issuing	101mm WLS: 70% 101mm WLS: 70% 92mm WLS: 80% 70mm WLS: 80%			MAI brow with coa	DE GROUND: stiff vn slightly sandy ve chert brick carbon :: (loose) light red t rse angular limesto :: (loose) yellow brow rse flint chert angul n to stiff yellow brow r CLAY.	dark grey & dark y ery gravelly & cob aceous fragments prown / grey singl ne GRAVEL. own slightly sandy ar GRAVEL. wn occasionally m stiff dark grey loca ed CLAY displayin is base.	vellow bly CLAY e sized v medium ottled light lly dark g thinly
Remarks	s / Well In 50mm ID s	nstalla	tion / Casir	ng Details stalled to 3.0m BGL.		99	° ° .0	ES ES Sam; ● □ Disturber W Water Sa 0 Bulk Sam U Undistur	ole d Sample ample nple bed Sample	ww W X	LS Windowless Sampler S Window Sampler Depth to water strike Standing water depth Job No. Z0608

ADVANCED INVESTIGATION SYSTEMS LTD Tel: 07970 460 427									BOF	Bore	Borehole			
AIS	Er W	nail: eb:	enquiries www.win	@windowsamplin dowsampling.com	g.com				(W	indov	w Sampli	ng)	Nun	nber
Site:					Engineer:						Drilling Equip	ment:		200
The Spittles,	, Lyme	Regis	6		WPA Consult	ing Ltd					Competitor 130)	VVS	502
Client:					Elevation m	AOD:	Easti	ng:	Northing:		Start:	Finish:	Scale:	
Dorset Cour	nty						3345	39.00	92768.00		08/07/2020	08/07/2020	1:50	
GROUNE		TER Depth	SAMPL Depth/Type	ING & IN SITU Standard Penetration	Sampler /	Depth I	Depth	Koy	ST	RATA R	ECORD	Description	Sheet	1 of 1
Suike	vven	(m)	(m)	Testing	Recovery	mBGL r	nAOD	Key	8	MAD	E GROUND: st	ff friable dark brov	wn grey	
									¥	hum carb	ic sandy gravelly onaceous fragm	/ SILT CLAY with ents limestone ch	brick ert.	,
								<u></u>	<u> </u>	Very cobb	stiff dark light yo ly & gravelly CL	ellow brown grey v AY. Gravel & cobb	variegated bles are	
					101		1.0	<u> </u>	4	angu Stiff	lar chert.	ming firm to stiff	at base vellow	
•••					80%		-1.0		_	brow	n / grey variega	ted becoming incr	easingly dark	
										31				
									_					
**					92mm WLS: 90%	2	- 2.0		_					
• •										Stiff	dark grey CLAY	with abundant or	dered	·
•••									_					
* `o					79mm WI S	3	-3.0		_					
					100%	」	-0.0							
						=								
						4	-4 .0							
						_ =	5.0							
							-5.0							
						6	- 6.0							
						_ +	7.0							
							- 7.0							
						8	- 8.0							
						+								
						9	-9.0							
						‡								
						10	40.0							
Remarks / W	Nell In	otted	tion / Casir	talled to 3 00m BCI					ES	ES Samp	le		WLS Windowless	Sampler
	וט סו	Sticu W	on corectricit				Λ	66	w	Water Sa	sample mple		Depth to wa	ntpier ater strike
							R	UD	Φ U	Bulk Sam Undisturb	ple ed Sample		Standing w	ater depth
														. 20000

A T	DVANC	ED INVES 07970 46	TIGATION SYSTE	MS LTD			E	BOREHO	DLE REC	ORD	Borehole
	imail: Veb:	enquiries www.win	@windowsamplin dowsampling.com	g.com				(Windo	w Sampli	ng)	Number
Site:				Engineer:					Drilling Equip	ment:	W602
The Spittles, Lym	e Regis			WPA Consult	ing Ltd				Competitor 130)	VV303
Client:				Elevation m/	AOD:	Easting:	Nort	hing:	Start:	Finish:	Scale:
Dorset County	T				;	334541.00	9275	1.00	08/07/2020	08/07/2020	1:50
GROUND WA	Depth	SAMPL Depth/Type	ING & IN SITU Standard Penetration	Sampler /	Depth [epth Ke	ey -	STRATA R	ECORD	Description	Sheet 1 of 1
Strike Well	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(m)	Testing	101mm WLS: 92mm WLS: 79mm WLS: 100%		AOD Ki 1.0 Image: Constraint of the second seco		MAI hum Very & gr Stiff brov grey Stiff	DE GROUND: st lie sandy gravelly oonaceous fragm y stiff light yellow avelly CLAY. Gra t. to very stiff becc vn / grey variega with depth CLA dark grey CLAY relic development	Description iff friable dark brow y SILT CLAY with b tents limestone che brown grey varieg avel & cobbles are coming firm to stiff al ted becoming increa Y. with abundant ordent.	n grey rick art
Remarks / Well I	nstallat	ion / Casir	ig Details talled to 3.00m BGL.		10	AGS]	ES ES Samp Disturber W Water Sa © Bulk San U Undisturb	ole d Sample ample aple bed Sample	V	VLS Windowless Sampler VS Window Sampler Depth to water strike Standing water depth Job No. Z0608

ADVANO Tel: Email:	CED INVESTIGATION SYSTI 07970 460 427 enquiries@windowsampli	EMS LTD			BOREHO	Borehole		
AIS Web:	www.windowsampling.con	n 1			(Windo)	v Sampli	ng)	
Site:		Engineer:				Drilling Equip	ment:	WS04
The Spittles, Lyme Regis	3	WPA Consulti	ng Ltd			Competitor 130)	
Client:		Elevation mA	OD: Ea	sting:	Northing:	Start:	Finish:	Scale:
Dorset County	I		33	4591.00	92749.00	10/07/2020	10/07/2020	1:50
GROUND WATER	SAMPLING & IN SITU	TESTING			STRATA R	ECORD		Sheet 1 of 1
Strike Well Depth (m)	Depth/Type Standard Penetration (m) Testing	n Sampler / Recovery	Depth Dep mBGL mA0	th DD Key			Description	
	(m) Testing	Recovery 101mm WLS: 70% 101mm WLS: 60% 92mm WLS: 30% 92mm WLS: 100% 79mm WLS: 79mm WLS: 70%	1 -1. 2 -2. 3 -3. 5 -5. 6 -6. 7 -7.		MAE hum fragr MAE brow cher MAE yello CLA	TE GROUND: sti ic sandy SILT Cl nents limestone DE GROUND: sti rn & orange brow t limestone batte DE GROUND: fir w brown variega Y with chert slate thinly laminated ings within sam	dark grey CLAY. Re pler (end blocked wi	grey naceous / locally LAY with agments.
Remarks / Well Installa Dry hole. Backfilled with aris	tion / Casing Details	54mm WS: 30%	9	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ES ES Samp O Disturbed W Water Sa o Bulk Sam	le Sample mple ple	Wi	LS Windowless Sampler S Window Sampler Z Depth to water strike Staning water depth

	AI Te Er	OVANC I: nail:	ED INVES 07970 40 enquiries	TIGATION SYSTI 60 427 @@windowsamplin	EMS LTD				BOI (W	REHC)LE RECORD w Sampling)		Borehole Number
Site:	> W	en:	www.win	uowsampiing.con	Engineer				、	-	Drilling Equipment:		
The Spittl	es lyme	Reais			WPA Consult	ina I td					Competitor 130		WS05
Oliante		rtogic					F	49	NI				0
Client:					Elevation m	AOD:	Eas	ting:	Northing:			:	Scale:
Doisel Co	Junty						554	362.00	92759.00		10/07/2020 10/07/2	2020	1.50
GROU	ND WA	ΓER	SAMPL	ING & IN SITU	TESTING				ST	RATA R	ECORD		Sheet 1 of 1
Strike	Well	Depth (m)	Depth/Type (m)	Standard Penetration Testing	n Sampler / Recovery	Depth mBGL	Depth mAOE	Key			Description		
					101mm WLS: 90% 92mm WLS: 90% 79mm WLS: 60%					Fine grav MAE brow cher Fine grav mate Stiff CLA Mod MUE	thinly laminated yellow brov Y. Erately weak light grey calc STONE.	iegated slig riegated slig rt brick organts.	htly iegated
						5 6 7 8 9 9	5.0						
Dry hole. B	ackfilled v	vith aris	ings.	iy Detalls					ES	ES Samp	le Sample	WLS	Windowless Sampler
	aonineu V	ans	yo.				ļ	AGS	• [] W 0 U	Disturbed Water Sa Bulk Sam Undisturb	i sample mple ple ed Sample	ws	Vindow Sampler Depth to water strike Standing water depth
													JOD NO. 20608

ADVANCED INVESTIGATION SYSTEMS LTD Tel: 07970 460 427 Email: enquiries@windowsampling.com									BORE (Wind		Borehole Number		
	> w	ed:	www.win	dowsampling.con	Engineer:						Drilling Equipment:		
The Spitt	es. Lvme	Reais	i		WPA Consult	ina Ltd					Competitor 130		WS06
Client:					Elevation m/	 ۱۹۵۰	Fae	tina	Northing		Start: Einich		Scale:
Dorset Co	ounty						334	576.00	92772.00		10/07/2020 10/07/2	2020	1:50
	-												
GROU	ND WA	Donth	SAMPL	ING & IN SITU	TESTING	Dopth	Donth		STRAT	ra Ri	ECORD		Sheet 1 of 1
Strike	Well	(m)	(m)	Testing	Recovery	mBGL	mAOE	Key	~	TOD	Description	, alightly oar	A. 9
			(m)		101mm WLS: 101mm WLS: 90% 92mm WLS: 90%					TOPs grave limes MAD brow chert & org Stiff c Stiff c Grave Stiff s Stiff c CLAN	SOIL: stiff friable dark grey elly organic CLAY/SILT wit tone. E GROUND: stiff grey yell n & orange brown gravelly concrete bituminous mac ganic material at 2.1m BGI dark grey slightly gravelly s praceous fragments chert oil). /ellow brown locally brown el is angular chert. dark grey locally yellow bro ordered lithorelic developm hinly laminated yellow bro /.	/ slightly sar h flint chert I low brown Ic v cobbly CLA adam. Brow silty CLAY w rare brick (f n grey grave pwn variega nent. wn grey var	Idy & brick // // // // // // // // // // // // //
Remarks Dry hole. E	/ Well In	stalla /ith aris	t ion / Casir ings.	ng Details		9	9.0	L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	ES ES S ● □ Dist W Wat □ Bulk U Und	Sampi urbed er Sarr (Samj listurbe	e Sample nple ole ed Sample	WLS WS V	Windowless Sampler Window Sampler Depth to water strike Standing water depth Job No. Z0608

	AI Te Er	DVANC I: nail: eb:	ED INVES 07970 44 enquiries www.win	TIGATION SYSTE 60 427 s@windowsamplir dowsampling.con	EMS LTD ng.com า			TRIA	ORD	Trial Pit Number		
Site:				-	Engineer:					Plant Equipm	ent:	ΗΔ01
The Spittle	es, Lyme	Regis			WPA Consult	ing Ltd				Hand auger		
Client:					Elevation m	AOD: Ea	sting:	Northing:		Start:	Finish:	Scale:
Dorset Co	unty					33	4515.00	92768.00		08/07/2020	08/07/2020	1:20
GROU Strike	ND WA	Depth	SAMPI	ING & IN SITU	TESTING Sampler / Recovery	Depth Dep mBGL mAC	th DD Key	STRAT	TA RI	ECORD	Description	Sheet 1 of 1
			(11)						TOPS grave limes Stiff y CLAY nodu	SOIL: stiff friable elly organic CLA stone. yellow brown oc / with occasiona les to 5mm.	e dark grey slightly s AY/SILT with flint che ccasionally mottled li al disseminated calc bw brown / grey varie	andy & rt brick ght grey areous egated
						+			CLAY	/. Angular limes	tone boulder at base	€.
					200mm hand auger							
Remarks Dry hole. B	/ Orient ackfilled v	ation / /ith aris	Dimension	ns / Stability			AGS	ES ES ● □ Dist W Wat ● Bulk	Sampl turbed ter San k Samp	e Sample nple ole	w w X	LS Windowless Sampler S Window Sampler Depth to water strike Standing water depth Job No. Z0608
	AI Te Er	DVANC I: nail: eb:	ED INVES 07970 4 enquirie: www.wir	TIGATION SYST 60 427 s@windowsampli idowsampling.cor	EMS LTD ng.com n			TRIAL I	PIT RECC	ORD	Trial Pit Number	
------------------------	----------------	-----------------------------	---	---	------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------	---	--	---	--	
Site:	-				Engineer:				Plant Equipm	ent:		
The Spittl	es, Lyme	Regis	;		WPA Consult	ting Ltd			Hand auger		HAU2	
Client:					Elevation m	AOD: E	asting:	Northing:	Start:	Finish:	Scale:	
Dorset Co	ounty					3	34529.00	92739.00	08/07/2020	08/07/2020	1:20	
GROU	ND WA	ΓER	SAMPI	ING & IN SITU	TESTING			STRATA F	RECORD		Sheet 1 of 1	
Strike	Well	Depth (m)	Depth/Type (m)		Sampler / Recovery	Depth Depth mBGL m	epth AOD Key			Description		
						-		TOI grav lime	PSOIL: stiff friable velly organic CL/ estone. f to very stiff yelle	e dark grey slightly YY/SILT with flint cf	r sandy & nert brick	
					200mm hand auger	- - - - 1	I.0	bec Ang 	oming increasing	gly dark grey with c	lepth CLAY.	
					auger		2.0					
Remarks Dry hole. B	/ Orient	ation /	Dimensio ings.	ns / Stability		4 4	AGS	ES ES Sam Disturbe W Water Si © Bulk Sar	ple d Sample ample nple		WLS Windowless Sampler WS Window Sampler Depth to water strike Standing water depth	

	AI Te Er	DVANC I: nail: eb:	CED INVES 07970 4 enquiries www.wir	TIGATION SYST 60 427 s@windowsamplin ndowsampling.cor	EMS LTD ng.com n			TRIAL F	PIT RECORD	Trial Pit Number
Site:	_				Engineer:		1		Plant Equipment:	
The Spitt	les, Lyme	Regis	6		WPA Consult	ing Ltd			Hand auger	ПАОЗ
Client:					Elevation m	AOD: Ea	sting:	Northing:	Start: Finish:	Scale:
Dorset C	ounty					334	4541.00	92708.00	08/07/2020 08/07/2020	1:20
GROU	ND WA	TER	SAMPI	LING & IN SITU	TESTING			STRATA R	RECORD	Sheet 1 of 1
Strike	Well	Depth (m)	Depth/Type (m)		Sampler / Recovery	Depth Dept mBGL mAC	th D Key		Description	
								TOF grav lime Stiff o cobl ang	PSOIL: stiff friable dark grey slightly sa velly organic CLAY/SILT with flint cher estone. to very stiff dark yellow brown grey va bly & gravelly CLAY. Gravel & cobbles ular chert.	andy & t brick ariegated : are
					200mm hand auger			Very incre	y stiff yellow brown / grey variegated b easingly dark grey with depth CLAY.	vecoming
Remarks	J Orient Backfilled v	ation /	Dimensio ings.	ns / Stability		44.(AGS	ES ES Samp Disturbed W Water Sa © Bulk San	ole WL d Sample WS ample \ nple \	S Windowless Sampler Window Sampler Depth to water strike Standing water depth Job No. Z0608

ADVANCED INVESTIGATION SYSTEMS LTD Tel: 07970 460 427 Email: enquiries@windowsampling.com Web: www.windowsampling.com								TRIAL	PIT REC	ORD	Trial Pit Number
Site:					Engineer:		•		Plant Equipn	nent:	
The Spittl	es, Lyme	Regis	;		WPA Consult	ing Ltd			Hand auger		ПА04
Client:					Elevation m/	AOD: Ea	asting:	Northing:	Start:	Finish:	Scale:
Dorset Co	ounty					33	4551.00	92685.00	10/07/2020	10/07/2020	1:20
GROU Strike	ND WA	Depth	SAMPL Depth/Type	ING & IN SITU	TESTING Sampler / Becovery	Depth De	pth Key	STRATA	RECORD	Description	Sheet 1 of 1
		(m)	<u>(m)</u>		Recovery			TO gra lim	PSOIL: stiff friab velly organic CL estone.	le dark grey slightly s AY/SILT with flint che	andy & rt brick
						+		CL 	f yellow brown c AY. Gravel cobb	obbly very bouldery & les & boulders are any	k gravelly gular chert.
					Hand dug	11	.0	Stif	f to very stiff dar htly gravelly CL	k yellow brown grey v AY. Gravel is angular (rariegated chert.
Remarks	/ Orient	ation /	Dimensio	ns / Stability	200mm hand auger		0	ES ES Sam	ple		S Windowless Sampler
Remarks	/ Orient	ation /	טומפוט ings.	ns / Stability			AGS	ES ES Sam Disturbe W Water S 0 Bulk Sa	ple d Sample ample mple		S Windowless Sampler Window Sampler Depth to water strike Standing water depth Job No. Z0608

ADVANCED INVESTIGATION SYSTEMS LTD Tel: 07970 460 427 Email: enquiries@windowsampling.com Web: www.windowsampling.com								TRIAL F	PIT RECO	ORD	Trial Pit Number
Sito		00.		aowoamping.com	Engineer:				Plant Equipm	ont:	-
The Spittl	es lyma	Rogic			WPA Consult	ina I td				ent.	HA05
	es, Lynne	rtegia			WI A Collouit						
Client:					Elevation m/	AOD: Ea	isting:	Northing:	Start:	Finish:	Scale:
Dorset Co	ounty					33	4552.00	92656.00	10/07/2020	10/07/2020	1:20
GROU		TER Depth	SAMPL Depth/Type	ING & IN SITU	TESTING Sampler /	Depth De	oth Kay	STRATA R	ECORD	Description	Sheet 1 of 1
Surike	weil	(m)	(m)		Recovery	mBGL mA		🛛 маг)F GROUND' s	tiff dark grev & dark ve	Now
						-		CLA carb	to very stiff yelle	vey gravelly cobbly & aantly angular chert br nents limestone.	k bouldery ick
					Hand dug	+ + + + + 1-+1		Varie angu	egated slightly g ular chert.	ravelly cobbly CLAY. (Gravel is
					200mm hand auger	-			Y. Angular limes	tone boulder at base.	
							0				
						33 	0				
							0				
Remarks	/ Orient	ation /	Dimensio	ns / Stability			AGS	ES ES Samp ● □ Disturbeo W Water Sa ⊕ Bulk Sam	ole I Sample mple ple	WL4 WS	S Windowless Sampler Window Sampler Depth to water strike Standing water depth Job No. Z0608

ADVANCED INVESTIGATION SYSTEMS LTD Tel: 07970 460 427 Email: enquiries@windowsampling.com Web: www.windowsampling.com									TRIAL P	PIT RECO	ORD		Trial Pit Number	
Site:	_				Engineer:					Plant Equipm	ient:			
The Spittl	es, Lyme	e Regis	;		WPA Consult	ing Ltd				Hand auger			ΠΑυσ	
Client:					Elevation m/	AOD: E	asting:	N	orthing:	Start:	Finish:	s	cale:	
Dorset Co	ounty					3	34559.00) 9:	2621.00	10/07/2020	10/07/2020	1	:20	
GROU		TER	SAMPI	LING & IN SITU	TESTING				STRATA R	ECORD			Sheet 1 of 1	
Strike	Well	Depth (m)	Depth/Type		Sampler / Recovery	Depth D mBGL m	epth AOD K	еу			Description			
			(m)		,				TOP	SOIL: stiff friab	le dark grey slightly	/ sand	y & ick	
									limes	stone.	wn occasionally mo	ottled	light	
							E		grey	CLAY with occ	asional disseminate to 5mm.	ed		
						-	- E-							
					Hand dug	-			Stiff	to very stiff vell	ow brown / grev va	riegat	ed	
						-	E-1		CLA	Y.	on brown, groy va	nogut		
						-	<u> </u>							
						-	<u> </u>							
						-	<u> </u>							
						1	1.0							
					200mm hand auger	-	_							
						_								
						-								
						-								
						-								
						2	2.0							
						-								
						-								
						-								
						-								
						3	3.0							
						+								
						+								
						+								
						-								
						+								
						+								
							10							
Remarks	/ Orient	ation /	Dimensio	ns / Stability	1	4	+.U		ES ES Sama	le.		WIS 1	Nindowless Sampler	
Dry hole. B	ackfilled	with aris	ings.						ES ES Samp Disturbed	Sample		WS N	Windowiess Sampler	
							AGS	5	W Water Sa	mple ple		$\mathbf{\mathbf{x}}$	Depth to water strike Standing water depth	
								-					Job No. Z0608	

Appendix C GAC for Allotments Land Use and Public Open Space (2)

APPENDIX C. GACs for Allotments Land Use

Determinant	LOD	Units	Assessment Criteria
Arsenic	1	mg/kg	49
Boron (water-soluble)	0.2	mg/kg	45
Cadmium	0.2	mg/kg	4.9
Chromium III	1	mg/kg	18000
Chromium VI	1.2	mg/kg	170
Copper	1	mg/kg	520
Lead	1	mg/kg	80
Mercury	0.3	mg/kg	6
Nickel	1	mg/kg	230
Selenium	1	mg/kg	88
Zinc	1	mg/kg	620
Naphthalene	0.05	mg/kg	24
Acenaphthylene	0.05	mg/kg	160
Acenaphthene	0.05	mg/kg	200
Fluorene	0.05	mg/kg	160
Phenanthrene	0.05	mg/kg	90
Anthracene	0.05	mg/kg	2200
Fluoranthene	0.05	mg/kg	290
Pyrene	0.05	mg/kg	620
Benzo(a)Anthracene	0.05	mg/kg	13
Chrysene	0.05	mg/kg	19
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.05	mg/kg	3.9
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.05	mg/kg	130
Benzo(a)Pyrene	0.05	mg/kg	5.7
Indeno(123-cd)Pyrene	0.05	mg/kg	39
Dibenzo(ah)Anthracene	0.05	mg/kg	0.43
Benzo(ghi)Perylene	0.05	mg/kg	640
Benzene	0.001	mg/kg	0.18
Ethylbenzene	0.001	mg/kg	91
M/P Xylene	0.001	mg/kg	170
O Xylene	0.001	mg/kg	160
Toluene	0.001	mg/kg	120
Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	0.001	mg/kg	
TPH (C5-C6 aliphatic)	0.001	mg/kg	3900
TPH (C6-C8 aliphatic)	0.001	mg/kg	13000
TPH (C8-C10 aliphatic)	0.001	mg/kg	1700

Determinant	LOD	Units	Assessment Criteria			
TPH (C10-C12 aliphatic)	1	mg/kg	7300			
TPH (C12-C16 aliphatic)	2	mg/kg	13000			
TPH (C16-C21 aliphatic)	8	mg/kg	270000			
TPH (C21-C35 aliphatic)	8	mg/kg	270000			
TPH (C5-C7 aromatic)	0.001	mg/kg	57			
TPH (C7-C8 aromatic)	0.001	mg/kg	120			
TPH (C8-C10 aromatic)	0.001	mg/kg	51			
TPH (C10-C12 aromatic)	1	mg/kg	74			
TPH(C12-C16 aromatic)	2	mg/kg	130			
TPH(C16-C21 aromatic)	10	mg/kg	260			
TPH(C21-C35 aromatic)	10	mg/kg	1600			
	DEFRA C4SLs, SOM 6%					
	LQM/CIEH 20	14 S4ULs				

DEFRA C4SLs, SOM 6%

Substance	Allotmen	ts	Public Open Space 2			
Arsenic	49	mg/kg	168	mg/kg		
Benzene	0.18	mg/kg	230	mg/kg		
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.7	mg/kg	21	mg/kg		
Cadmium	4.9	mg/kg	880	mg/kg		
Chromium (VI)	170	mg/kg	250	mg/kg		
Lead	80	mg/kg	1300	mg/kg		

Appendix D Testing Results



Claudia Norman WPA Environmental Shears Building Stone Lane Industrial Estate Wimborne Dorset BH21 1HD



i2 Analytical Ltd. 7 Woodshots Meadow, Croxley Green Business Park, Watford, Herts, WD18 8YS

t: 01923 225404 f: 01923 237404 e: reception@i2analytical.com

e: contact@wpa-environmental.com

Analytical Report Number : 20-19823

Replaces Analytical Report Number: 20-19823, issue no. 2

Additional analysis undertaken.

Project / Site name:	Allotments Site, Land next to Pittles Lane, Lyme Regis	Samples received on:	16/07/2020
Your job number:		Samples instructed on/ Analysis started on:	16/07/2020
Your order number:		Analysis completed by:	17/08/2020
Report Issue Number:	2	Report issued on:	18/08/2020
Samples Analysed:	24 soil samples		

RECES Signed:

Rachel Bradley Deputy Quality Manager For & on behalf of i2 Analytical Ltd.

Standard Geotechnical, Asbestos and Chemical Testing Laboratory located at: ul. Pionierów 39, 41 -711 Ruda Śląska, Poland.

Accredited tests are defined within the report, opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of accreditation.

Standard sample disposal times, unless otherwise agreed with the laboratory, are :

soils	- 4 weeks from reporting
eachates	- 2 weeks from reporting
waters	- 2 weeks from reporting
asbestos	- 6 months from reporting

Excel copies of reports are only valid when accompanied by this PDF certificate.

Any assessments of compliance with specifications are based on actual analytical results with no contribution from uncertainty of measurement. Application of uncertainty of measurement would provide a range within which the true result lies. An estimate of measurement uncertainty can be provided on request.





Project / Site name: Allotments Site, Land next to Pittles Lane, Lyme Regis

Lab Sample Number				1564841	1564842	1564843	1564844	1564845
Sample Reference				WS01	WS01	WS02	WS02	WS03
Sample Number				None Supplied				
Depth (m)				0.30	2.70	0.20-0.30	0.90-1.00	0.20-0.30
Date Sampled				08/07/2020	08/07/2020	08/07/2020	08/07/2020	08/07/2020
Time Taken				None Supplied				
Appletical Deventeer	E	Limit of	Accre Si					
(Soil Analysis)	Jnits	fdetection	aditation tatus					
Stone Content	%	0.1	NONE	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Moisture Content	%	N/A	NONE	13	22	14	18	18
Total mass of sample received	kg	0.001	NONE	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Asbestos in Soil Screen / Identification Name	Туре	N/A	ISO 17025	-	-	-	-	-
Asbestos in Soil	Туре	N/A	ISO 17025	Not-detected	Not-detected	Not-detected	Not-detected	Not-detected
Asbestos Quantification (Stage 2)	%	0.001	ISO 17025	-	-	-	-	-
Asbestos Quantification Total	%	0.001	ISO 17025	-	-	-	-	-
General Inorganics								
nH - Automated	nH Unite	N/A	MCEDTC	87	Q	77	70	74
Organic Matter		N/A	MCEDIC	0.7	1	6.4	1.3	7.4
	70	0.1	PICERTS	2.0	1	0.4	1.2	5
Total Phenols								
Total Phenols (monohydric)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Speciated PAHs		-						
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Fluorene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	2.4	< 0.05	1.8	< 0.05	< 0.05
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.47	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Fluorantnene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	5.1	< 0.05	1.4	< 0.05	0.9
Pyrene Research and the second	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	5.1	< 0.05	1.2	< 0.05	0.9
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	4	< 0.05	1.1	< 0.05	1.3
Cillyselle Benze(b)flueranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	2.5	< 0.05	0.8	< 0.05	0.77
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCEDIC	1.7	< 0.05	0.65	< 0.05	0.71
Benzo(x)nuclantiene	mg/kg	0.05	MCEDTS	4.1	< 0.05	1.1	< 0.05	1.1
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)nyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCEPTS	7.1	< 0.05	0.57	< 0.05	0.57
Dibenz(a h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCEPTS	0.57	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(ghi)perylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	2.6	< 0.05	0.63	< 0.05	0.7
Total PAH				25.0	0.00	10.1	0.00	0.64
Speciated Total EPA-16 PAHs	mg/kg	0.8	MCERTS	35.9	< 0.80	10.4	< 0.80	8.61
Heavy Metals / Metalloids								
Arsenic (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	13	16	36	12	18
Boron (water soluble)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	1.5	2.6	2	5.2	3.8
Cadmium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	0.8	0.3	1.2	1.2	0.8
Chromium (hexavalent)	mg/kg	1.2	MCERTS	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 1.2
Chromium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	37	44	44	51	42
Copper (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	55	52	130	88	64
Lead (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	170	27	650	19	320
Mercury (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.3	MCERTS	< 0.3	< 0.3	1.7	< 0.3	< 0.3
Nickel (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	41	57	53	61	37
Selenium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	3.1	< 1.0
Zinc (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	160	91	450	160	190
Creative d Manager (D. 1973) (12)								
Speciated Mercury (Dry Weight)	mc //	0.005	NONE			1 224		
morganic mercury	ing/kg	0.005	NUNE	-	-	1.324	-	-

This certificate should not be reproduced, except in full, without the express permission of the laboratory. The results included within the report relate only to the sample(s) submitted for testing.





Project / Site name: Allotments Site, Land next to Pittles Lane, Lyme Regis

Lab Sample Number				1564841	1564842	1564843	1564844	1564845
Sample Reference				WS01	WS01	WS02	WS02	WS03
Sample Number				None Supplied				
Depth (m)				0.30	2.70	0.20-0.30	0.90-1.00	0.20-0.30
Date Sampled				08/07/2020	08/07/2020	08/07/2020	08/07/2020	08/07/2020
Time Taken				None Supplied				
Analytical Parameter (Soil Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
Organo-Mercury Species (including Methyl Hg)	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	0.008	-	-
Elemental Mercury	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	0.458	-	-
Speciated Mercury (Dry Weight)		0.005	NONE			0.024		
F1 - Water soluble	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	0.024	-	-
F2 - Weak aclu	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	< 0.005	-	-
F3 - Organo- complexed	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	0.008	-	-
F4 - Strongly complexed	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	0.458	-	-
rs - Millelai Douliu	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	1.297	-	-
Monoaromatics & Oxygenates								
Benzene	µg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Toluene	µg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	µg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
p & m-xylene	µg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
0-xylene	µg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
MIBE (Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether)	µg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Petroleum Hydrocarbons								
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC5 - EC6	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC6 - EC8	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC8 - EC10	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC10 - EC12	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC12 - EC16	mg/kg	2	MCERTS	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC16 - EC21	mg/kg	8	MCERTS	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC21 - EC35	mg/kg	8	MCERTS	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic (EC5 - EC35)	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC5 - EC7	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC7 - EC8	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC8 - EC10	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC10 - EC12	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC12 - EC16	mg/kg	2	MCERTS	5.3	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC16 - EC21	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	38	< 10	17	< 10	< 10
IPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC21 - EC35	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	90	< 10	34	< 10	27
IPH-CWG - Aromatic (EC5 - EC35)	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	130	< 10	51	< 10	3/





Project / Site name: Allotments Site, Land next to Pittles Lane, Lyme Regis

I ab Sample Number				1564846	1564847	1564848	1564849	1564850
Sample Reference				WS03	WS04	WS04	WS05	WS05
Sample Number				None Supplied				
Denth (m)				0.80-1.20	0.20-0.40	1.50-1.70	0.20-0.30	1.40-1.70
Date Sampled				08/07/2020	10/07/2020	10/07/2020	10/07/2020	10/07/2020
Time Taken				None Supplied				
		_						
		.ini	A					
Analytical Parameter	c	öf	St					
(Soil Analysis)	nits	det	dita					
		ecti	stior					
		on	-					
Stone Content	%	0.1	NONE	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Moisture Content	%	N/A	NONE	9.5	14	16	11	15
Total mass of sample received	kg	0.001	NONE	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Asbestos in Soil Screen / Identification Name	Туре	N/A	ISO 17025	-	-	-	-	-
Asbestos in Soil	Туре	N/A	ISO 17025	Not-detected	Not-detected	Not-detected	Not-detected	Not-detected
Asbestos Quantification (Stage 2)	%	0.001	ISO 17025	-	-	-	-	-
Asbestos Quantification Total	%	0.001	ISO 17025	-	-	-	-	-
General Inorganics								
pH - Automated	pH Units	N/A	MCERTS	7.9	7.6	7.9	7.5	7.7
Organic Matter	%	0.1	MCERTS	0.2	3.7	1.8	3.1	3.3
			•					
Total Phenols								
Total Phenols (monohydric)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
	5, 5							
Speciated PAHs								
Nanhthalene	ma/ka	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Acenanthylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
	mg/kg	0.05	MCEDTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Fluorene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	3.2	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCEDTS	< 0.05	0.59	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCEDTS	< 0.05	5.5	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.37
	mg/kg	0.05	MCEDTS	< 0.05	5.5	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.37
Renzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCEDTS	< 0.05	3.2	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.55
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.05	MCEDTS	< 0.05	2.5	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.25
Benzo(h)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCEDTS	< 0.05	2.0	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.25
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCEDTS	< 0.05	1.6	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.23
Benzo(a)nurene	mg/kg	0.05	MCEDTS	< 0.05	2.0	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.17
Indono(1,2,2, cd)purcho	mg/kg	0.05	MCEDIC	< 0.05	1.2	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.22
Diberta borthroone	mg/kg	0.05	MCEDIC	< 0.05	1.3	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	0.38	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(gni)perviene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	1.5	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Total DALL								
					21.2			1.00
Speciated Total EPA-16 PAHS	mg/kg	0.8	MCERTS	< 0.80	31.2	< 0.80	< 0.80	1.86
Heavy Metals / Metalloids								
Arsenic (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	10	14	14	21	16
Boron (water soluble)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	1.3	1	1.1	2	0.6
Cadmium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	< 0.2	0.8	0.7	0.9	0.9
Chromium (hexavalent)	mg/kg	1.2	MCERTS	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 1.2
Chromium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	34	30	39	37	34
Copper (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	13	37	42	39	53
Lead (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	15	250	72	110	130
Mercury (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.3	MCERTS	< 0.3	0.5	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3
Nickel (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	19	25	35	40	38
Selenium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	2.8	< 1.0
Zinc (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	42	310	110	130	210
Speciated Mercury (Dry Weight)								
Inorganic Mercury	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-	-

This certificate should not be reproduced, except in full, without the express permission of the laboratory. The results included within the report relate only to the sample(s) submitted for testing.





Project / Site name: Allotments Site, Land next to Pittles Lane, Lyme Regis

Lab Sample Number		1564846	1564847	1564848	1564849	1564850		
Sample Reference				WS03	WS04	WS04	WS05	WS05
Sample Number				None Supplied				
Depth (m)				0.80-1.20	0.20-0.40	1.50-1.70	0.20-0.30	1.40-1.70
Date Sampled				08/07/2020	10/07/2020	10/07/2020	10/07/2020	10/07/2020
Time Taken		None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied		
Analytical Parameter (Soil Analysis)		Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
Organo-Mercury Species (including Methyl Hg)	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-	-
Elemental Mercury	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-	-
Speciated Mercury (Dry Weight)	ma/ka	0.005	NONE	_	_	_	_	_
F2 - Weak acid	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-	-
F3 - Organo- complexed	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-	-
F4 - Strongly complexed	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-	-
F5 - Mineral bound	ma/ka	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-	-
Monoaromatics & Oxygenates	119/149	1	MCEDIC	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
	µg/kg	1	MCEDTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	µg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
n & m-xylene	µg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
o-xvlene	ua/ka	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
MTBE (Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether)	ua/ka	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Petroleum Hydrocarbons	malka	0.001	MCEDIC	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC8 - EC10	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic > EC10 - EC12	ma/ka	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC12 - EC16	ma/ka	2	MCERTS	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC16 - EC21	mg/kg	8	MCERTS	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC21 - EC35	mg/kg	8	MCERTS	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic (EC5 - EC35)	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC5 - EC7	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC7 - EC8	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC8 - EC10	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC10 - EC12	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC12 - EC16	mg/kg	2	MCERTS	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC16 - EC21	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	< 10	20	< 10	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC21 - EC35	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	< 10	29	< 10	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic (EC5 - EC35)	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	< 10	49	< 10	< 10	< 10





Project / Site name: Allotments Site, Land next to Pittles Lane, Lyme Regis

Lab Sample Number		1564851	1564852	1564853	1564854	1564855		
Sample Reference				WS06	WS06	HA01	HA01	HA02
Sample Number				None Supplied				
Depth (m)				0.30	1.00	0.10-0.20	0.70-0.80	0.30
Date Sampled				10/07/2020	10/07/2020	08/07/2020	08/07/2020	08/07/2020
Time Taken				None Supplied				
		Lim	Þ					
Analytical Parameter (Soil Analysis)	Units	it of detection	ccreditation Status					
Stone Content	0/-	0.1	NONE	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Moisture Content		0.1	NONE	13	16	10	17	14
Total mass of sample received	70 ka	0.001	NONE	12	10	19	12	1.2
	ĸġ	0.001	HONE	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Ashestos in Soil Screen / Identification Name	Type	N/A	ISO 17025	-	_	Chrysotile	-	-
Ashestos in Soil	Type	N/A	ISO 17025	Not-detected	Not-detected	Detected	Not-detected	Not-detected
Asbestos Quantification (Stage 2)	%	0.001	ISO 17025	-	-	< 0.001	-	-
Asbestos Quantification Total	%	0.001	ISO 17025	-	-	< 0.001	-	-
General Inorganics								
pH - Automated	pH Units	N/A	MCERTS	7.7	7.7	7.5	8	7.3
Organic Matter	%	0.1	MCERTS	2	3.3	4.3	1	3.8
Total Dhanala								
Total Phenois		1	MCEDIC	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Total Phenois (mononydric)	mg/kg	I	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Speciated PAHs								
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Acenaphthylene	ma/ka	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Acenaphthene	ma/ka	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Fluorene	ma/ka	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Phenanthrene	ma/ka	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Fluoranthene	ma/ka	0.05	MCERTS	0.62	0.94	0.83	< 0.05	< 0.05
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.63	0.79	0.75	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.46	0.62	0.55	< 0.05	< 0.05
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.34	0.48	0.74	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.53	0.57	0.81	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.35	0.37	0.5	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.51	0.48	0.64	< 0.05	< 0.05
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.3	0.25	0.4	< 0.05	< 0.05
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(ghi)perylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.37	0.32	0.49	< 0.05	< 0.05
Total PAH Speciated Total EPA-16 PAHs	ma/ka	0.8	MCERTS	4.11	4.82	5.71	< 0.80	< 0.80
Heavy Metals / Metalloids								
Arsenic (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	12	19	22	9.8	10
Boron (water soluble)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	1	2.5	0.9	2.4	2.3
Cadmium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	1.1	1.3	1.3	0.5	0.6
Chromium (hexavalent)	mg/kg	1.2	MCERTS	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 1.2
Chromium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	33	49	48	43	33
Copper (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	32	73	85	42	38
Lead (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	89	240	270	16	120
Mercury (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.3	MCERTS	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	0.4
Nickel (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	41	60	55	42	23
Selenium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	3.5	3.2	3.7	< 1.0	< 1.0
Zinc (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	170	320	360	64	160
Speciated Mercury (Dry Weight)								
Inorganic Mercury	mg/ka	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-	-





Project / Site name: Allotments Site, Land next to Pittles Lane, Lyme Regis

Lab Sample Number		1564851	1564852	1564853	1564854	1564855		
Sample Reference				WS06	WS06	HA01	HA01	HA02
Sample Number				None Supplied				
Depth (m)				0.30	1.00	0.10-0.20	0.70-0.80	0.30
Date Sampled				10/07/2020	10/07/2020	08/07/2020	08/07/2020	08/07/2020
Time Taken				None Supplied				
Analytical Parameter (Soil Analysis)		Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
Organo-Mercury Species (including Methyl Hg)	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-	-
Elemental Mercury	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-	-
Speciated Mercury (Dry Weight) F1 - Water soluble	ma/ka	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-	-
F2 - Weak acid	ma/ka	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-	-
F3 - Organo- complexed	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-	-
F4 - Strongly complexed	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-	-
F5 - Mineral bound	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-	-
Monoaromatics & Oxygenates Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene p & m-xylene o-xylene	μg/kg μg/kg μg/kg μg/kg μg/kg	1 1 1 1 1	MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS	< 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0				
MTBE (Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether)	µg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Petroleum Hydrocarbons TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC5 - EC6 TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC6 - EC8	mg/kg mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS MCERTS	< 0.001 < 0.001				
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC8 - EC10	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC10 - EC12	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic > EC12 - EC16	mg/kg	2	MCERTS	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC10 - EC21 TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC21 - EC35	mg/kg	0 8	MCERTS	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic (EC5 - EC35)	ma/ka	10	MCERTS	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
	iiig/ikg	10	HIGERTS	10		10	10	V 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC5 - EC7	ma/ka	0.001	MCEDIS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC7 - EC8	ma/ka	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC8 - EC10	ma/ka	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic > EC10 - EC12	ma/ka	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC12 - EC16	ma/ka	2	MCERTS	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC16 - EC21	ma/ka	10	MCERTS	< 10	< 10	12	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC21 - EC35	mg/ka	10	MCERTS	28	30	19	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic (EC5 - EC35)	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	35	37	32	< 10	< 10
· · · · · ·	J, J				0			





Project / Site name: Allotments Site, Land next to Pittles Lane, Lyme Regis

Lab Sample Number		1564856	1564857	1564858	1564859	1564860		
Sample Reference				HA02	HA03	HA03	HA04	HA04
Sample Number				None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied
Depth (m)				0.80	0.20	0.70	0.20	0.80
Date Sampled				08/07/2020	08/07/2020	08/07/2020	10/07/2020	10/07/2020
Time Taken				None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied
		Limit	Acc					
Analytical Parameter (Soil Analysis)	Units	of detection	creditation Status					
Stone Content	0/-	0.1	NONE	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Moisture Content	- 70 0/-	0.1	NONE	10	16	16	14	15
Total mass of sample received	70 ka	0.001	NONE	12	10	10	17	1.2
	ĸġ	0.001	HONE	112	1.2	1.2	112	1.2
Ashestos in Soil Screen / Identification Name	Туре	N/A	ISO 17025	_	-	-	-	-
	Type	Ν/Δ	ISO 17025	Not-detected	Not-detected	Not-detected	Not-detected	Not-detected
Asbestos Quantification (Stage 2)	%	0.001	ISO 17025	-	-	-	-	-
Asbestos Quantification Total	%	0.001	ISO 17025	-	-	-	-	-
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
General Inorganics								
pH - Automated	pH Units	N/A	MCERTS	8	7.7	8	7.2	7.8
Organic Matter	%	0.1	MCERTS	2.4	3.6	1	6.1	0.5
Total Phenols								
Total Phenols (monohydric)	ma/ka	1	MCEDIC	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Speciated PAHs								
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Fluorene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(ghi)perylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Speciated Total EPA-16 PAHs	mg/kg	0.8	MCERTS	< 0.80	< 0.80	< 0.80	< 0.80	< 0.80
Heavy Metals / Metalloids			10000	<i>c</i> .	12	07	47	10
Arsenic (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	6.1	13	8.7	17	12
Boron (water soluble)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	2.4	0.9	2.6	5./	2.4
Cadmium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	0.8	0.6	0.3	0.9	0.5
Chromium (nexavalent)	mg/kg	1.2	MCEDIC	< 1.Z	< 1.Z	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 1.2 EC
	mg/kg	1	MCEDIC	40	40 /1	41	43 70	26
Copper (aqua regia extractable)	rng/kg	1	MCERTS	44	41	3 4	۲۵ ۵۵۵	30
Leau (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	10	100	19	200	20
Mickel (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.3	MCERTS	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	0./	< U.3
Nicker (aqua regia extractable)	rng/kg	1	MCERTS	42	41	43	33	02
	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Linc (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	70	130	/۲	2/0	100
Speciated Mercury (Dry Weight)								
Inorganic Mercury	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-	-





Project / Site name: Allotments Site, Land next to Pittles Lane, Lyme Regis

Lab Sample Number		1564856	1564857	1564858	1564859	1564860		
Sample Reference				HA02	HA03	HA03	HA04	HA04
Sample Number				None Supplied				
Depth (m)				0.80	0.20	0.70	0.20	0.80
Date Sampled				08/07/2020	08/07/2020	08/07/2020	10/07/2020	10/07/2020
Time Taken		None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied		
Analytical Parameter (Soil Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
Organo-Mercury Species (including Methyl Hg)	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-	-
Elemental Mercury	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-	-
Speciated Mercury (Dry Weight)	ma/ka	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-	-
F2 - Weak acid	ma/ka	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-	-
F3 - Organo- complexed	ma/ka	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-	-
F4 - Strongly complexed	ma/ka	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-	_
F5 - Mineral bound	ma/ka	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-	-
Monoaromatics & Oxygenates	ua/ka	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 10
Toluene	ua/ka	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	ua/ka	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
p & m-xylene	µg/ka	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
o-xylene	µg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
MTBE (Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether)	µg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Petroleum Hydrocarbons		0.001	MCEDIC	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC5 - EC6	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC0 - EC0	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC10 - EC12	ma/ka	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.3	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic > EC12 - EC16	ma/ka	2	MCERTS	< 2.0	< 2.0	11	< 2.0	< 2.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC16 - EC21	ma/ka	8	MCERTS	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC21 - EC35	mg/kg	8	MCERTS	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic (EC5 - EC35)	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	< 10	< 10	21	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC5 - EC7	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC7 - EC8	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC8 - EC10	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC10 - EC12	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC12 - EC16	mg/kg	2	MCERTS	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC16 - EC21	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC21 - EC35	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic (EC5 - EC35)	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10





Project / Site name: Allotments Site, Land next to Pittles Lane, Lyme Regis

Lab Sample Number				1564861	1564862	1564863	1564864
Sample Reference				HA05	HA05	HA06	HA06
Sample Number				None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied
Denth (m)				0.20-0.30	0.80	0.20	0.80
Date Sampled				10/07/2020	10/07/2020	10/07/2020	10/07/2020
Time Taken				None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied
	1	-					
		iii.	AC				
Analytical Parameter	c	ē,	störe				
(Soil Analysis)	nits	det	dita				
		ect	sitio				
		on	-				
Stone Content	%	0.1	NONE	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Moisture Content	%	N/A	NONE	14	15	11	15
Total mass of sample received	kg	0.001	NONE	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.2
Asbestos in Soil Screen / Identification Name	Туре	N/A	ISO 17025	-	-	-	-
Asbestos in Soil	Туре	N/A	ISO 17025	Not-detected	Not-detected	Not-detected	Not-detected
Asbestos Quantification (Stage 2)	%	0.001	ISO 17025	-	-	-	-
Asbestos Quantification Total	%	0.001	ISO 17025	-	-	-	-
General Inorganics							
pH - Automated	pH Units	N/A	MCERTS	7.6	7.9	7	7.9
Organic Matter	%	0.1	MCERTS	5	0.5	5.9	1
Total Phenols							
Total Phenols (monohydric)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
	5, 5						
Speciated PAHs							
Nanhthalene	ma/ka	0.05	MCEDTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Aconomic the	mg/kg	0.05	MCEDITC	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Acenaphthone	mg/kg	0.05	MCEDITC	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Elucrono	mg/kg	0.05	MCEDITC	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Phonenthese	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	2.9	< 0.05
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.41	< 0.05
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	1	< 0.05	1.8	< 0.05
Pyrene Roma (c) and the second	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.99	< 0.05	1.5	< 0.05
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.55	< 0.05	0.75	< 0.05
Chrysene Research Stuewenthere	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.55	< 0.05	0.96	< 0.05
Benzo(b)nuorantnene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.68	< 0.05	1.1	< 0.05
Benzo(k)nuorantnene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.42	< 0.05	0.36	< 0.05
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.6	< 0.05	0.63	< 0.05
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.24	< 0.05	0.29	< 0.05
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(ghi)perylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.33	< 0.05	0.41	< 0.05
Total PAH							
Speciated Total EPA-16 PAHs	mg/kg	0.8	MCERTS	5.39	< 0.80	10.9	< 0.80
Heavy Metals / Metalloids							
Arsenic (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	17	12	16	12
Boron (water soluble)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	2.7	1.7	3.6	2.3
Cadmium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	1.1	0.2	1.5	1.8
Chromium (hexavalent)	mg/kg	1.2	MCERTS	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 1.2
Chromium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	42	60	47	53
Copper (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	53	31	69	74
Lead (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	460	27	180	22
Mercury (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.3	MCERTS	0.9	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3
Nickel (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	33	43	46	100
Selenium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Zinc (aqua regia extractable)	ma/ka	1	MCERTS	520	83	430	120
		÷			25		
Speciated Mercury (Dry Weight)							
	ma/ka	0.005	NONE	_	_	_	_
Inorganic Piciculy	шу/ку	0.005	NUNE	-	-	-	-

This certificate should not be reproduced, except in full, without the express permission of the laboratory. The results included within the report relate only to the sample(s) submitted for testing.





Project / Site name: Allotments Site, Land next to Pittles Lane, Lyme Regis

Lab Sample Number				1564861	1564862	1564863	1564864
Sample Reference				HA05	HA05	HA06	HA06
Sample Number				None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied
Depth (m)				0.20-0.30	0.80	0.20	0.80
Date Sampled				10/07/2020	10/07/2020	10/07/2020	10/07/2020
Time Taken				None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied
Analytical Parameter (Soil Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status				
Organo-Mercury Species (including Methyl Hg)	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-
Elemental Mercury	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-
Speciated Mercury (Dry Weight) F1 - Water soluble	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-
F2 - Weak acid	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-
F3 - Organo- complexed	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-
F4 - Strongly complexed	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-
F5 - Mineral bound	mg/kg	0.005	NONE	-	-	-	-
Monoaromatics & Oxygenates							
Benzene	µg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Toluene	µg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	µg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
p & m-xylene	µg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
o-xylene	µg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
MTBE (Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether)	µg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Petroleum Hydrocarbons							
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC5 - EC6	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC6 - EC8	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC8 - EC10	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC10 - EC12	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.9
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC12 - EC16	mg/kg	2	MCERTS	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	20
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC16 - EC21	mg/kg	8	MCERTS	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	22
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC21 - EC35	mg/kg	8	MCERTS	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic (EC5 - EC35)	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	< 10	< 10	< 10	52
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC5 - EC7	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC7 - EC8	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC8 - EC10	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC10 - EC12	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC12 - EC16	mg/kg	2	MCERTS	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC16 - EC21	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC21 - EC35	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	< 10	< 10	13	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic (EC5 - EC35)	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	< 10	< 10	22	< 10





Analytical Report Number: 20-19823 Allotments Site, Land next to Pittles Lane, Lyme Regis Project / Site name: Your Order No:

Certificate of Analysis - Asbestos Quantification

Methods:

Qualitative Analysis

The samples were analysed qualitatively for asbestos by polarising light and dispersion staining as described by the Health and Safety Executive in HSG 248.

Quantitative Analysis

The analysis was carried out using our documented in-house method A006-PL based on HSE Contract Research Report No: 83/1996: Development and Validation of an analytical method to determine the amount of asbestos in soils and loose aggregates (Davies et al, 1996) and HSG 248. Our method includes initial examination of the entire representative sample, then fractionation and detailed analysis of each fraction, with quantification by hand picking and weighing.

The limit of detection (reporting limit) of this method is 0.001 %.

The method has been validated using samples of at least 100 g, results for samples smaller than this should be interpreted with caution.

Sample Number	Sample ID	Sample Depth (m)	Sample Weight (g)	Asbestos Containing Material Types Detected (ACM)	PLM Results	Asbestos by hand picking/weighing (%)	Total % Asbestos in Sample
1564853	HA01	0.10-0.20	118	Loose Fibres	Chrysotile	< 0.001	< 0.001

Both Qualitative and Quantitative Analyses are UKAS accredited.

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS accreditation.





Project / Site name: Allotments Site, Land next to Pittles Lane, Lyme Regis

* These descriptions are only intended to act as a cross check if sample identities are questioned. The major constituent of the sample is intended to act with respect to MCERTS validation. The laboratory is accredited for sand, clay and loam (MCERTS) soil types. Data for unaccredited types of solid should be interpreted with care.

Stone content of a sample is calculated as the % weight of the stones not passing a 10 mm sieve. Results are not corrected for stone content.

Lab Sample Number	Sample Reference	Sample Number	Depth (m)	Sample Description *
1564841	WS01	None Supplied	0.3	Brown loam and clay with gravel.
1564842	WS01	None Supplied	2.7	Brown loam and clay with gravel.
1564843	WS02	None Supplied	0.20-0.30	Brown loam and clay with gravel and vegetation.
1564844	WS02	None Supplied	0.90-1.00	Brown loam and clay with gravel and vegetation.
1564845	WS03	None Supplied	0.20-0.30	Brown loam and clay with gravel and vegetation.
1564846	WS03	None Supplied	0.80-1.20	Brown loam and clay with gravel and vegetation.
1564847	WS04	None Supplied	0.20-0.40	Brown loam and clay with gravel and vegetation.
1564848	WS04	None Supplied	1.50-1.70	Brown loam and clay with gravel and vegetation.
1564849	WS05	None Supplied	0.20-0.30	Brown loam and clay with gravel and vegetation.
1564850	WS05	None Supplied	1.40-1.70	Brown loam and clay with gravel.
1564851	WS06	None Supplied	0.3	Brown loam and clay with gravel and vegetation.
1564852	WS06	None Supplied	1	Brown loam and clay with gravel.
1564853	HA01	None Supplied	0.10-0.20	Brown loam and clay with gravel and vegetation.
1564854	HA01	None Supplied	0.70-0.80	Brown loam and clay with gravel and vegetation.
1564855	HA02	None Supplied	0.3	Brown loam and clay with gravel and vegetation.
1564856	HA02	None Supplied	0.8	Brown loam and clay with gravel and vegetation.
1564857	HA03	None Supplied	0.2	Brown loam and clay with gravel and vegetation.
1564858	HA03	None Supplied	0.7	Brown loam and clay with gravel and vegetation.
1564859	HA04	None Supplied	0.2	Brown loam and clay with gravel and vegetation.
1564860	HA04	None Supplied	0.8	Brown loam and clay with gravel.
1564861	HA05	None Supplied	0.20-0.30	Brown loam and clay with gravel and vegetation.
1564862	HA05	None Supplied	0.8	Brown loam and clay with gravel.
1564863	HA06	None Supplied	0.2	Brown loam and clay with gravel and vegetation.
1564864	HA06	None Supplied	0.8	Brown loam and clay with gravel and vegetation.





Project / Site name: Allotments Site, Land next to Pittles Lane, Lyme Regis

Water matrix abbreviations: Surface Water (SW) Potable Water (PW) Ground Water (GW)

Analytical Test Name	Analytical Method Description	Analytical Method Reference	Method number	Wet / Dry Analysis	Accreditation Status
Metals in soil by ICP-OES	Determination of metals in soil by aqua-regia digestion followed by ICP-OES.	In-house method based on MEWAM 2006 Methods for the Determination of Metals in Soil.	L038-PL	D	MCERTS
Asbestos identification in soil	Asbestos Identification with the use of polarised light microscopy in conjunction with disperion staining techniques.	In house method based on HSG 248	A001-PL	D	ISO 17025
Boron, water soluble, in soil	Determination of water soluble boron in soil by hot water extract followed by ICP-OES.	In-house method based on Second Site Properties version 3	L038-PL	D	MCERTS
Hexavalent chromium in soil (Lower Level)	Determination of hexavalent chromium in soil by extraction in water then by acidification, addition of 1,5 diphenylcarbazide followed by colorimetry.	In-house method	L080-PL	w	MCERTS
Moisture Content	Moisture content, determined gravimetrically. (30 oC)	In house method.	L019-UK/PL	W	NONE
Monohydric phenols in soil	Determination of phenols in soil by extraction with sodium hydroxide followed by distillation followed by colorimetry.	In-house method based on Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition: Clesceri, Greenberg & Eaton (skalar)	L080-PL	W	MCERTS
Organic matter (Automated) in soil	Determination of organic matter in soil by oxidising with potassium dichromate followed by titration with iron (II) sulphate.	In house method.	L009-PL	D	MCERTS
Speciated EPA-16 PAHs in soil	Determination of PAH compounds in soil by extraction in dichloromethane and hexane followed by GC-MS with the use of surrogate and internal standards.	In-house method based on USEPA 8270	L064-PL	D	MCERTS
pH in soil (automated)	Determination of pH in soil by addition of water followed by automated electrometric measurement.	In house method.	L099-PL	D	MCERTS
BTEX and MTBE in soil (Monoaromatics)	Determination of BTEX in soil by headspace GC-MS.	In-house method based on USEPA8260	L073B-PL	W	MCERTS
TPHCWG (Soil)	Determination of hexane extractable hydrocarbons in soil by GC-MS/GC-FID.	In-house method with silica gel split/clean up.	L088/76-PL	W	MCERTS
Speciated Mercury in soil by Millennium Merlin Ana	Determination of Speciated Mercury in soil by Millennium Merlin Analyzer	In-house method	L085-PL	Dry	NONE
Asbestos Quantification - Gravimetric	Asbestos quantification by gravimetric method - in house method based on references.	HSE Report No: 83/1996, HSG 248, HSG 264 & SCA Blue Book (draft).	A006-PL	D	ISO 17025

For method numbers ending in 'UK' analysis have been carried out in our laboratory in the United Kingdom.

For method numbers ending in 'PL' analysis have been carried out in our laboratory in Poland.

Soil analytical results are expressed on a dry weight basis. Where analysis is carried out on as-received the results obtained are multiplied by a moisture correction factor that is determined gravimetrically using the moisture content which is carried out at a maximum of 30oC.

Appendix E Ground Gas Monitoring Results



ADVANCED INVESTIGATION SYSTEMS LIMITED Web: www.windowsampling.com

Borehole Gas Monitoring Results

Procedure Ref: CIRIA C665 (2007); NHBC Guidance on Methane & Carbon Dioxide, Report No. 04, (March 2007); Ground Gas Handbook, Wilson, Card & Haines (2009)

Project:	The Spittles, Lyme Regis
Client:	Dorset Council
Engineer:	WPA Consulting Ltd

Gas Monitor:	GA2000+ Infrared Gas Analyser & Flow Pod
Calibrated:	04 September 2019

Photoionisation Detector:	Phocheck 1000+
Calibrated:	16 December 2019

Job Number:	Z0608	
Date	14 August 2020	
Pressure (Rising / Falling / Level)	Falling	

Serial Number:	GA10030
Certificate Number:	10030-440912

Serial Number:	07-01478
Certificate Number:	105639

Borehole		Background	BH01	BH02	BH03		
Flow Rate (I/hr)		-	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Barometric Pressure (mbar)		1007	1007	1007	1007		
Methane- CH4 (%)	Peak	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	Steady State	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Carbon Dioxide - CO2 (%)	Peak	0.0	0.2	2.4	2.3		
	Steady State	0.0	0.2	2.4	2.3		
Oxygen - O2 (%)	Peak (low)	19.9	19.3	17.9	17.8		
	Steady State	19.9	19.3	17.9	17.8		
Balance Gases (%)		80.1	80.5	79.7	79.9		
Carbon Monoxide - CO (ppm)		0	0	0	0		
Hyd. Sulphide - H2S (ppm)		0	0	0	0		
PID VOC (ppm)		0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0		
Water Level (mBGL)		-	2.62	2.97	2.68		

Weather / Temperature °C / Comments:

Cloudy with scattered showers / 22



ADVANCED INVESTIGATION SYSTEMS LIMITED Web: www.windowsampling.com

Borehole Gas Monitoring Results

Procedure Ref: CIRIA C665 (2007); NHBC Guidance on Methane & Carbon Dioxide, Report No. 04, (March 2007); Ground Gas Handbook, Wilson, Card & Haines (2009)

Project:	The Spittles, Lyme Regis	
Client:	Dorset Council	
Engineer:	WPA Consulting Ltd	

Gas Monitor:	GA2000+ Infrared Gas Analyser & Flow Pod
Calibrated:	04 September 2019

Photoionisation Detector:	Phocheck 1000+
Calibrated:	16 December 2019

Job Number:	Z0608
Date	10 September 2020
Pressure (Rising / Falling / Level)	Falling

Serial Number:	GA10030
Certificate Number:	10030-440912

Serial Number:	07-01478
Certificate Number:	105639

Borehole		Background	BH01	BH02	BH03		
Flow Rate (l/hr)		-	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Barometric Pressure (mbar)		1016	1016	1016	1016		
Methane- CH4 (%)	Peak	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	Steady State	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Carbon Dioxide - CO2 (%)	Peak	0.0	0.2	2.2	2.5		
	Steady State	0.0	0.2	2.2	2.5		
Oxygen - O2 (%)	Peak (low)	20.0	19.8	18.3	18.1		
	Steady State	20.0	19.8	18.3	18.1		
Balance Gases (%)		80.0	80.0	79.5	79.4		
Carbon Monoxide - CO (ppm)		0	0	0	0		
Hyd. Sulphide - H2S (ppm)		0	0	0	0		
PID VOC (ppm)		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Water Level (mBGL)		-	2.48	Dry	2.79		

Weather / Temperature °C / Comments:

Cloudy / 18



ADVANCED INVESTIGATION SYSTEMS LIMITED Web: www.windowsampling.com

Borehole Gas Monitoring Results

Procedure Ref: CIRIA C665 (2007); NHBC Guidance on Methane & Carbon Dioxide, Report No. 04, (March 2007); Ground Gas Handbook, Wilson, Card & Haines (2009)

Project:	The Spittles, Lyme Regis				
Client:	Dorset Council				
Engineer:	WPA Consulting Ltd				

Gas Monitor:	GA2000+ Infrared Gas Analyser & Flow Pod
Calibrated:	04 September 2019

Photoionisation Detector:	Phocheck 1000+				
Calibrated:	16 December 2019				

Job Number:	Z0608
Date	25 September 2020
Pressure (Rising / Falling / Level)	Level

Serial Number:	GA10030
Certificate Number:	10030-440912

Serial Number:	07-01478
Certificate Number:	105639

Borehole		Background	BH01	BH02	BH03		
Flow Rate (I/hr)		-	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Barometric Pressure (mbar)		999	999	999	999		
Methane- CH4 (%)	Peak	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	Steady State	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Carbon Dioxide - CO2 (%)	Peak	0.0	0.1	3.0	3.4		
	Steady State	0.0	0.1	3.0	3.4		
Oxygen - O2 (%)	Peak (low)	20.2	20.1	17.8	17.7		
	Steady State	20.2	20.1	17.8	17.7		
Balance Gases (%)		79.8	79.8	79.2	78.9		
Carbon Monoxide - CO (ppm)		0	0	0	0		
Hyd. Sulphide - H2S (ppm)		0	0	0	0		
PID VOC (ppm)		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Water Level (mBGL)		-	2.36	Dry	2.59		

Weather / Temperature °C / Comments:

Overcast / 14